AFOSR 67-1874

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

COLLEGE OF LITERATURE, SCIENCE AND THE ARTS

DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY

Final Report

HUMAN PERFORMANCE IN INFORMATION PROCESSING AND STORAGE

Arthur W. Melton

ORA Project 05823

under contract with:

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES DIVISION

CONTRACT NO. AF 49(638)-1235

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Sponsored by

ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY

BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES, COMMAND AND CONTROL RESEARCH

ARPA Order No. 461

administered through:

OFFICE OF RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION   ANN ARBOR

July, 1967

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TERMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE CONTRACT PROGRAM

Contract No. AF 49(638)-1235 between the Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the University of Michigan was initiated June 1, 1963, with Dr. Paul M. Fitts as Principal Investigator. The term of the original contract was from June 1, 1963, to September 30, 1965. Supplemental Agreement No. 1(65-384), dated 1 May 1965, extended the term of the contract from October 1, 1965, to May 31, 1967, with additional funds. Change Order No. 2(65-412), dated 25 May 1965, changed the designated Principal Investigator from Dr. Paul M. Fitts to Dr. A. W. Melton, as a consequence of the death of Dr. Fitts on May 2, 1965. The program of research which was initiated under Contract No. AF 49(638)-1235 has been extended by Contract No. AF 49 (638)-1736 to cover the period from June 1, 1967, through May 31, 1969.

The statement of work specified that research would be conducted in the general field of Engineering Psychology, and specifically:

> "The contractor will conduct research on human performance. This research will be designed to produce a taxonomy of human information-processing functions to determine human capabilities and limitations in fulfilling basic information-processing functions, (and) to develop a model of human short-term memory, (and) to conduct such other studies and carry out such other activities as may seem desirable in the interest of advancing basic knowledge of human information processes, or application of that knowledge to military planning and operations, or the design of military systems."

The original proposal for this contract was entitled "A Human Performance Center" (February 15, 1963). It stressed the need for the establishment, in a University environment, of a laboratory for the study of human information processing skills (Dr. Paul M. Fitts), human memory capabilities (Dr. A. W. Melton), and information use in decision processes

(Drs. Ward Edwards and William L. Hays). At the request of ARPA, the research on decision processes was deleted from a revised proposal entitled "A Program of Research on Human Performance in Information Processing and Storage" (April 22, 1963), because that research was being supported by the Air Force Systems Command. However, the present contract remained the sufficient basis for the establishment of a Human Performance Center in the Department of Psychology, University of Michigan in June 1963, and the subsequent provision, by the University of Michigan, of required space and administrative support for such a Center.

Thus, an implicit obligation of the Principal Investigators on this contract has been the development of a permanent research facility for the investigation of human performance capabilities and limitations that are of importance for the performance of man in a wide variety of man-machine systems. Further, this obligation extended to the utilization of the facility, and the equipment and funds provided by the contract, not only for the conduct of the required research but also for the education and training of pre-doctoral and post-doctoral students to conduct such research. Since an accounting of the success of this implicit objective serves to identify the senior and junior research personnel involved in producing the research results to be reported, and also the research facilities and equipment made possible by the contract, data on the resources employed by the contract will be considered in Part II of this report and followed, in Part III, with a summary of the technical program accomplishments.

PART II

RESEARCH PERSONNEL AND FACILITIES

Research Facility

After the first summer of operations (1963), all senior scientists, research assistants and support personnel associated with this project had offices and laboratories within the Human Performance Center of the Department of Psychology. From October, 1963, to April, 1966, the Human Performance Center was located in remodeled space in the West Physics Building. In April, 1966, it moved to exceptionally adequate space in the Perry Building, 330 Packard Road, which was remodeled to accommodate the special requirements of the Human Performance Center and the project. Of the net 12,300 sq. ft. available for the functions of the Center, approximately 5,600 sq. ft. are occupied by staff and students engaged in research on the project, with 3,700 sq. ft. occupied by other projects under the direction of Dr. Pew (NASA) and Dr. Edwards (NASA, AFOSR, NIH, and Wood-Kalb Foundation), with 3,000 sq. ft. given over to general Center functions (Library, Electronics Shop, Stores, Seminar Room, and Undergraduate Laboratories for laboratory courses in human experimental psychology that are (generally) taught by staff of the Center).

Research Personnel

The program budget for the 4-year contract called for 2.52 man-years/yr. of senior scientists (who in all cases except visiting scientists have academic appointments and undergraduate and/or graduate teaching responsibilities), and for 4.58 man-years/yr. of graduate research assistance. Table 1 shows the research time charged to project funds, and also shows identifiable time spent on project work even though the individual was compensated from other sources (pre-doctoral and post-doctoral fellowships and

3

Table 1

Man Years Per Year of Project Efforts With or
Without Project Compensation

| Classification of Effort | Budgeted | Actual Effort | | Total |
		Project Funds	Other Funds	
Senior Staff (Faculty and Visiting Scientists)	2.52	2.30	.04	2.34
Post-Doctoral Fellows and Trainees	-	-	.75	.75
Graduate Research Assistants	4.58	6.00	1.25	7.25
Totals (man years/yr.)	7.10	8.30	2.04	10.34

traineeships or volunteered time). As may be seen, the total senior staff

effort (2.30 my/y) was less than planned. This is attributable entirely to

the death of Professor Fitts on May 2, 1965, and our inability to acquire a

suitable replacement. The work loads of others in the Human Performance

Center (principally, Professors Melton, Edwards, and Pew) were adjusted to

accomodate an increase in their effort on the project. Substantial assist-

ance to the project in this time of stress was also given, without project

compensation, by Professor Irwin Pollack and Associate Professor Daniel

Weintraub, who took over the supervision of three doctoral dissertations that

were initiated under Professor Fitts. Finally, the supervisory work-load,

as reflected in the man-years of graduate research assistants on the project,

was maintained at a higher than budgeted level (6.00 my/y versus the budgeted

4.58 my/y).

The support of project work by other funds is an expected consequ-

ence of becoming an identifiable facility for research of a programmatic

nature, such as the Human Performance Center. This "free" effort toward the

goals of the project accounts for 2.04 man-years/yr. of effort, as shown in

Table 1. This represents the contributions of individuals who worked in the Center under the direction of the staff of the project while holding pre-doctoral fellowships or traineeships (NSF, NIH, NASA, and Rotary International were represented), post-doctoral fellowships (NSF, and AAUW are represented), or appointments in the NSF-sponsored Summer Research Participation Projects for post-doctoral college teachers.

The details regarding all categories of senior research personnel involved in the project are shown in Table 2. This table lists separately the project staff who were on the regular staff of the Human Performance Center and the Department of Psychology, visiting scientists on the project, post-doctoral fellows who worked on project problems and in association with a member of the permanent staff, summer post-doctoral research participants, and consultants (not counted in manpower data).

Table 2

RESEARCH PERSONNEL

Permanent Staff	Dates of Service	Man-Months
Professor Paul M. Fitts (Psychology) (Ph.D., Univ. of Rochester, 1938)	1 Jun 63-2 May 65	13.5
Professor Arthur W. Melton (Psychology) (Ph.D., Yale Univ., 1932)	1 Jun 63-31 May 67	21.9
Assoc. Prof. W. M. Kincaid (Mathematics) (Ph.D., Brown Univ., 1946)	1 Jun 63-31 May 67	7.4
Professor Ward Edwards (Psychology) (Ph.D., Harvard Univ., 1952)	1 Jul 63-31 May 67	3.3
Asst. Prof. Edwin J. Martin (Psychology) (Ph.D., Univ. of Iowa, 1963)	1 Sep 63-31 May 67	19.0
Asst. Prof. Richard W. Pew (Psychology) (Ph.D., Univ. of Michigan, 1963)	1 Jun 63-31 May 67	15.2
Asst. Prof. Judith P. Goggin (Psychology) (Ph.D., Univ. of California, Berkeley, 1964)	1 Sep 65-31 May 67	9.3
Asst. Prof. Robert A. Bjork (Psychology) (Ph.D., Stanford Univ., 1966)	1 Sep 66-31 May 67	0.3

Table 2 (Continued)

Visiting Scientists

Dr. R. Conrad (Assoc. Director APRU, Cambridge, England) (Ph.D., Univ of Cambridge, 1953)	1 Sep 64-15 Apr 65	7.5
Dr. Jerzy Ekel (Asst. Prof., Univ. of Warsaw, Poland) (Ph.D., Univ. of Warsaw, 1963)	1 Feb 65- 1 Aug 65	6.0
Dr. Alfred H. Fuchs (Asst. Prof., Bowdoin College, Maine) (Ph.D., Ohio State Univ., 1960)	15 Jun 65-15 Aug 65	2.0
Dr. Daniel Kahneman (Lecturer, Hebrew Univ., Jerusalem) (Ph.D. Univ. of California, Berkeley, 1961)	1 Jun 66-31 Aug 66	3.0
Dr. Michael I. Posner (Asst. Prof., Univ. of Wisconsin) (Ph.D., Univ. of Michigan, 1962)	15 Jun 64-31 Aug 64	2.5

Post-Doctoral Fellows

Dr. Judith P. Goggin, NSF Post-Doctoral Fellow (Ph.D., Univ. of California, Berkeley, 1964)	1 Sep 64- 1 Aug 65
Dr. Valeria Cavazzuti, AAUW Fellowship (Ed.D., Univ. of Bologna, 1964)	1 Sep 66- 1 May 67

Summer Post-Doctoral Research Participants (on NSF grant to Univ. of Michigan)

The following participated in research studies under the supervision of Professor Melton, and with the support of the project because a contribution to the project was anticipated. The NSF Grant provided support for the individual for 2 months in every case.

Summer of 1963

Dr. Alfred H. Fuchs (Ph.D., Ohio State Univ., 1962), Asst. Prof., Bowdoin College, New Brunswick, Maine.

Dr. Archie B. Carran (Ph.D., Univ. of Cincinnati. 1960), Asst. Prof., Beloit College, Beloit, Wisconsin.

Dr. Donald A. Schumsky (Ph.D., Tulane Univ., 1962), Asst. Prof., Univ. of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Summer of 1964

Dr. Alfred H. Fuchs (Ph.D., Ohio State Univ., 1962), Asst. Prof., Bowdoin College, New Brunswick, Maine.

Dr. James L. Fozard (Ph.D., Lehigh Univ., 1961), Asst. Prof., Colby College, Waterville, Maine.

Dr. John C. Jahnke (Ph.D., Northwestern Univ., 1955), Assoc. Prof., Miami University, Oxford, Ohio

Dr. Frank C. Leeming (Ph.D., Univ. of Mississippi, 1963), Asst. Prof., Memphis State Univ., Memphis, Tennessee.

Table 2 (Continued)

<u>Summer of 1965</u>

Dr. Richard Kammann (Ph.D., Univ. of Cincinnati, 1964), Asst. Prof., Oakland Univ., Rochester, Michigan.

Dr. Ethel Weiss (Ph.D., New School for Social Research, 1963), Asst. Prof., Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, New York.

<u>Summer of 1966</u>

Dr. David S. Gorfein (Ph.D., Columbia Univ., 1962), Asst. Prof., New College, Sarasota, Florida.

Dr. John C. Jahnke (Ph.D., Northwestern Univ., 1955), Assoc. Prof., Miami University, Oxford, Ohio.

Dr. Ethel Weiss (Ph.D., New School for Social Research, 1963), Asst. Prof., Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, New York.

<u>Consultants</u>

Bertelson, Prof. Paul	Professor of Psychology University of Brussels	Sept. 10-12, 1963
Bower, Dr. Gordon H.	Assoc. Prof. of Psychology Stanford University	Dec. 7-9, 1964
Broadbent, Dr. D. E.	Director, Medical Research Council Applied Psychology Research Unit, Cambridge, England.	Aug. 4-6, 1963
Crossman, Dr. E. R. F.	Department of Psychology University of Oxford, Oxford, England	Nov. 5-6, 1963
Elkind, Dr. Jerome I.	Bolt, Beranek and Newman Cambridge, Massachusetts	Feb. 17-18, 1964
Haber, Dr. Ralph N.	Assoc. Prof. of Psychology University of Rochester	Feb. 14-15, 1967
Johnson, Dr. Neal F.	Assoc. Prof. of Psychology Ohio State University	Sept. 18-19, 1966
Leibowitz, Dr. H. W.	Professor of Psychology Pennsylvania State Univ.	Feb. 1, 1967
Morton, Dr. John	Medical Research Council Applied Psychology Research Unit, Cambridge, England	Mar. 27-28, 1967
Murdock, Dr. B. B., Jr.	Professor of Psychology University of Toronto	Nov. 29-30, 1966
Peterson, Dr. L. R.	Professor of Psychology University of Indiana	Mar. 14-15, 1967
Posner, Dr. Michael I.	Assoc. Prof. of Psychology University of Oregon	Jul. 19-22, 1966

8

Table 2 (Continued)

Postman, Dr. Leo	Professor of Psychology Univ. of California, Berkeley	Jan. 22-23, 1965	
Rappaport, Dr. Maurice	Stanford Research Institute	Jan. 23-24, 1964	
Sternberg, Dr. Saul	Bell Telephone Laboratories	Nov. 11-12, 1965	
Tulving, Dr. Endel	Professor of Psychology University of Tcronto	Apr. 18-19, 1967	
Wickens, Dr. D. D.	Professor of Psychology Ohio State University	Oct. 17-18, 1963	

Research Assistance and Training

Table 3 lists the 33 graduate research assistants who have worked on the project during the 4-year period. All but 5 of those listed (Poirier, Radford, Robb, Rossman, and Steinberg) are or were students in the doctoral program in Psychology at the University of Michigan, and of these 28, 24 have had a continuing identification with the project until graduation or the conversion of the project from Contract No. AF 49(638)-1235 to Contract No. AF 49(638)-1736 on June 1, 1967.

Table 3

GRADUATE RESEARCH ASSISTANTS

Student	Highest Degree	Status:31 May 67	Man-Months ARPA	Other
Adams, R. A. S.	A.B., Univ. Adelaide, Australia, 1966	Year II GS, Exper. Psychology, HPC	1	2
Beatty, J. T.	A. B., Univ. Michigan, 1964	Year III GS (Psychol.) Transferred from HPC	7	0
Bernbach, H. A.	Ph.D., Univ. Michigan, 1965	Asst. Prof., Cornell University	6	3
Biederman, I.	Ph.D., Univ. Michigan, 1966	Asst. Prof., State Univ. of New York at Buffalo	4	4
Bronstad, G. W.	M.A., Univ. Michigan, 1965	Leave of Absence	0	3

Table 3 (Continued)

Collins, A. M.	B.B.A., Univ. Michigan, 1959	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967. To Bolt, Beranek, and Newman, Cambridge, Mass.	16	0
Crowder, R. G.	Ph.D., Univ. Michigan, 1965	Asst. Prof., Yale Univ.	7	0
Egeth, H.	Ph.D., Univ. Michigan, 1965	Asst. Prof., Johns Hopkins Univ.	5	0
Gardner, G. T.	A.B., Univ. Michigan, 1965	Year III GS, Exper. Psychology, HPC	7	4
Garskof, M.	A.B., Douglass College, Rutgers Univ., 1965	Year III GS, Exper. Psychology, HPC	9	2
Gelfand, H.	A.B., Univ. California at Los Angeles, 1963	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967. To Iowa State Univ.	8	0
Head, S. R.	A.B., Harvard Univ., 1965	Year III GS, Exper. Psychology, HPC	12	0
Kamlet, A.	M.S.E., Univ. Michigan, 1963; M.A., Univ. Michigan, 1965	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967. To Eng. Psychol. Lab., Aberdeen Proving Gd.	10	4
Ligon, E.	A.B., Univ. Florida 1963 (Mathematics)	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967.	3	8
Lively, B. L.	M.A Kent State Univ., 1964	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967. To Bowdoin College	8	5
Nemiroff, B.	A.B., Univ. Michigan, 1964	Year III GS, Psychology, Not HPC	1	0
Noyd, D. E.	A. B., Washington State Univ., 195	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967. Capt. USAF, Cannon AFB	0	8
Peterson, J. R.	Ph.D., Univ. Michigan, 1965 (Eng. Psychol.)	Research: Minneapolis-Honeywell	5	0
Poirier, M. L.	A.B., Univ. Michigan, 1962	Not a GS at Univ. of Michigan	13	0
Radford, B. K.	A.B., Univ. Michigan, 1961	Not a GS at Univ. of Michigan	16	0
Reicher, G. M.	A.B., Univ. California at Berkeley, 1963	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967. To Univ. of Oregon	27	0
Robb, M.	Ph.D., Univ. Michigan, 1965 (Phys. Ed.)	Assoc. Prof., State Univ. of New York at Courtland	5	0
Roberts, K. H.	A.B., Univ. Michigan, 1962	Year III GS, Exper. Psychology, HPC	21	0

Table 3 (Continued)

Rossman, E.	A B., Univ. Wisconsin, 1965	GS (Psychol.) at Univ. California at Berkeley	6	0
Rubin, E.	M.A., Kansas State Univ. 1965	GS (Educ. and Psychol.) Univ. Michigan	4	0
Rubin, S. M.	A.B., City College of New York, 1962	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967. To Oberlin College	12	2
Shulman, H. G.	A. B., Univ. Rochester, 1965	Year III GS, Exper Psychology, HPC	8	2
Smith, E. E.	Ph.D., Univ. Michigan, 1965	Res. Officer, U.S. Public Health Service St. Elizabeths Hosp.	6	6
Steinberg, M.	A.B., Univ. Michigan, 1966	Not a GS at Univ. of Michigan	5	0
Thomas, J. K.	A.B., Univ. Michigan, 1963	Year III GS, Exper. Psychology, IPC	20	2
Triggs, T. J.	M.Eng. Sci. Univ. of Sydney, Australia, 1967	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967. (Engineering Psychol.)	17	2
Tversky, B.	A.B., Univ. Michigan, 1963	Candidate for Ph.D. at Univ. Michigan, 1967.	5	0
Wattenbarger, B.	A.B., Oklahoma State Univ., 1965	Year III GS, Exper. Psychology, HPC	4	3

Up to May 31, 1967, 6 of the 24 Graduate Research Assistants who were committed to the human performance area had received the Ph.D. in Psychology (Experimental Psychology or Engineering Psychology) based on a doctoral dissertation that represented a major contribution to the work of the project. These students have been well-placed in universities (Cornell, Johns Hopkins, State University of New York at Buffalo, and Yale) and in industrial (Minneapolis-Honeywell) and government (Public Health Service) research units. They have, with no exception, continued active research along the lines fostered by the project and reflected in their doctoral dissertations, the titles of which follow (in date order):

Crowder, R. G. Verbal short-term memory as a function of degree of learning on a perceptual-motor interpolated activity. University of Michigan Report 05823-3-T, December, 1964. (Supervisor: Prof. A. W. Melton)

Bernbach, H. A. Stimulus learning and recognition in paired-asso-
ciate learning. University of Michigan Report 05823-7-T,
August, 1965. (Supervisor: Prof. A. W. Melton and J. D.
Birch)

Peterson, J. R. Effects of S-R coding on the rate of gain of in-
formation. University of Michigan Report 05823-8-T, December,
1965. (Supervisor: Prof. P. M. Fitts, then Asst. Prof. R. W.
Pew)

Smith, E. E. The effect of familiarity on the perceptual recogni-
tion and categorization of verbal information. University of
Michigan Report 05823-9-T, December, 1965. (Supervisor: Prof.
P. M. Fitts, then Prof. A. W. Melton)

Egeth, H. E. Parallel versus serial processes in multidimensional
stimulus discrimination. Human Performance Center Technical
Report No. 2, January, 1966. (Supervisor: Prof. P. M. Fitts,
then Assoc. Prof. Daniel J. Weintraub)

Biederman, I. Human performance in contingent information pro-
cessing tasks. Human Performance Center Technical Report No. 3,
October, 1966. (Supervisor: Prof. P. M. Fitts, then Prof. A.
W. Melton)

At the termination of the contract on May 31, 1967, ten doctoral

dissertations were in progress under contract auspices, with the expectation

that they would be completed before the end of 1967. So far, 6 of these 10

students have been well-placed in colleges and universities (Bowdoin, Iowa

State University, Oberlin, and Oregon), or in independent (Bolt, Beranek and

Newman) or government (Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground)

where they may be expected to continue active research in the areas of pro-

ject interest, as reflected in the topics of their doctoral dissertations,

which follow (tentative titles):

Collins, A. M. Repetition effects in visual short-term memory.
(Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. E. J. Martin)

Gelfand, H. Interresponse times as a measure of idiosyncratic and
normative organization in free recall. (Supervisor: Prof. A.
W. Melton)

Kamlet, A. S. Temporal factors in the utilization of coding rules.
(Supervisor: Prof. I. Pollack)

Ligon, E. The role of amount and similarity of prior and inter-
polated activity in short-term memory. (Supervisor: Prof. A.
W. Melton)

Lively, B. L. The von Restorff effect in short-term memory. (Supervisor: Prof. A. W. Melton)

Noyd, D. E. Proactive and intrastimulus interference in short-term memory for two-, three-, and five-word stimuli. (Supervisor: Prof. A. W. Melton)

Reicher, G. M. Perceptual recognition of letters as a function of the amount and meaningfulness of material and time for processing. (Supervisor: Prof. A. W. Melton)

Rubin, S. M. The effect of stimulus modality on proactive and retroactive inhibition in short-term memory. (Supervisor: Prof. A. W. Melton)

Triggs, T. J. Choice responses to two successive signals: The effects of instructional set, predictability of interval and S-R compatibility. (Supervisor: Prof. I. Pollack)

Tversky, B. Effects of retrieval task condition on the selective encoding of information for memory storage. (Supervisor: Prof. A. W. Melton)

Seven of the remaining 8 of the 24 graduate students are beginning Year III of graduate work in the project, and 1 is beginning Year II. In view of the continuation of the project after May 31, 1967, under Contract No. AF 49(638)-1738, and in view of the small Year II "class," the project has contracted with 8 first-year graduate students for research assistance during the academic year 1967-1968. All of these students were attracted to the project by the areas of research on human performance that it empahsizes.

Research Equipment

Cne explicit objective of the contract program was the development of equipment, and the necessary methods and procedures for its use, that would adapt advanced instrumentation techniques to the advancement of the variety, flexibility, and precision of the experimental analysis of human information handling processes and short-term memory. The major special-purpose equipments developed, procured, or used in this program are described in the following paragraphs:

1. Automatic Reaction Time Equipment. This device is a completely automatic system for the display of alphanumeric (or other predetermined)

stimuli and the recording of the accuracy and speed of response. A number of different stimulus display and response-key consoles may be employed with the equipment. Feedback for speed and accuracy of response is likewise automatic, given that certain arbitrary dichotomies of speed are employed. This equipment was used by Dr. Fitts in an extended series of studies of the effect of differential payoff for speed and accuracy in choice reaction time; also by Dr. Biederman in his research on contingent information processing. While it is no longer considered adequate for the speed-accuracy trade-off problem, in view of the use of a dichotomy of speed rather than a continuum, it is serving many research purposes in the information-handing area.

2. Continuous Recognition Memory Device (CRM-1). This device is designed for use with one subject in studies of continuous sequential testing of recognition memory for any type of visual information that can be reduced to 35 mm. slide projection. Stimuli are back projected on a milk-glass screen by means of a Carousel slide projector or Graflex film-strip projector. Dials control the overall duration of (a) the display, (b) the time allowed for S to respond before a "respond now" signal occurs, and (c) the time allowed for recording S's response and/or providing feedback on correctness. Several different push-button response panels may be used, with some providing for only "old" or "new" responses, others providing for 3 or 5 levels of confidence for each judgment. Feedback panels inform S either that he is right or wrong in his judgment, or that his response should have been "old" or "new." The identity and latency of key-press responses are recorded on event-recorders and printing timers. The system has been used in a number of early studies of short-term "continuous" recognition memory.

3. Multiple-Subject Continuous Recognition Memory Device (CRM-2). This device consists of a Carousel slide projector or Graflex film-strip projector for stimulus presentation, a 3-event recycling timer, a high-speed paper-tape punch, and push-button response consoles for 5 subjects. These response consoles allow differentiation of up to 5 levels of confidence for each response ("old" or "new"). Programs are available for computer analysis of the paper-tape records. This apparatus has been used extensively in studies of recognition memory, the relation between stimulus recognition and paired-associate learning, and the relation between recognition of repetition of stimuli and their retrieval in free recall.

4. Double-Projector STM Device (STM-1). This device uses two Carousel slide projectors for the separate display of to-be-remembered information and stimuli for rehearsal-preventing "filler" activity, both in the "simultaneous" mode of visual presentation. There is no interval (or "dark" time) between the disappearance of the to-be-remembered material and the "filler" material. A control console allows the experimenter to vary the exposure duration of the to-be-remembered material (range, .25 to 4.00 sec.), the duration (1 to 8 sec.) and number (1 to 8) of "filler" slides, and the durations of "rest" and "ready" intervals between tests. The automatic control of the duration of the to-be-remembered information may be overridden by the E or S when duration is defined as one "reading" or S-paced "study period." This equipment was heavily used during the first 3 years of the project, and has been overhauled and extensively redesigned within the past year.

5. <u>Sequential Visual STM Device (STM-2)</u>. This device combines a
BinaView display device and a punch-tape reader, for high-speed sequential
presentation of alphanumeric characters in studies of v ry-short-term memory.
The punch-tape reader programs not only the specific letters or digits to
be seen but also the independently defined duration of presentation of each
character. The principal purpose of this device is to require S to read off
each element as it appears (4 digits/sec. or 3 consonants/sec.) in a "shadow-
ing" procedure, and then present cne element of the series as a test "probe,"
with S required to recall the element that followed the probe element. After
extended preliminary studies, this equipment has been used in a doctoral
thesis now nearing completion (E. Ligon). Two other slightly varying forms
of the device have been assembled during the past year.

6. <u>Mylrea 16-Channel Projector</u>. This device, which was designed
by Dr. Fitts and Mr. Mylrea, permits the tape-programmed display of up to
16 different stimuli, either simultaneously or sequentially, with micro-
second turn-on time for each stimulus. Durations of presentation and sequ-
ences or combinations of stimuli are determined by external systems. This
device has been extensively employed in studies of selective factors in
information handling, and was judged sufficiently novel to warrant publica-
tion of a description (see Mylrea, K. C., <u>American Journal of Psychology</u>,
1966, 79, 314-317).

7. <u>10-Channel Block Display Device</u>. This device,was designed by
Dr. Melton and Mr. Bielby. By means of a high-speed block tape-reader and a
specially devised memory and timing system, the device may be used for simul-
taneous or successive display of 1 to 10 alphanumeric characters or nonsense
characters constructed from the 17 elements in each display unit, with no
more than 10 msec. "dark time" between successive displays in the same unit
if the duration of each display is at least 150 msec. Stimuli may be dis-
played sequentially in the same single unit or block of units (up to 10), in
different units in the 10-unit block with or without correlation of temporal
and spatial position, or in stepping sequence (in the manner of the Times
Square news sign) across the blocks. The duration of each display within a
sequence may be individually determined, within a set of 16 fixed times
ranging from .25 sec. to several seconds, by the same punched tape that de-
fines the character to be displayed. While this device was constructed to
serve specific experimental studies of the rate of presentation and the
effect of spatial-temporal order correlation on short-term memory, it has
general utility for a wide variety of studies of information processing and
short-term memory that were not heretofore possible without a digital computer.

8. <u>3-Channel Tachistoscope</u>. This is a procured device (Scientific
Prototype Model GB) which permits a wide range of durations of exposure, and
control of pre-exposure and post-exposure fields, for studies of perceptual,
attentional, and memory factors in visual stimulus detection and identifica-
tion, of the characteristics of preperceptual visual information storage,
and of times required for various higher-order information processing tasks
(filtering, condensing, transforming, contingent processing). It has been
heavily employed in research since it was delivered on 1 Decmeber 1965.

9. <u>Pupillary Response Recorder</u>. Initial studies of the pupillary
response as an index of the difficulty of information-processing in progress
within the subject were accomplished with a borrowed 35-mm. camera (Grass

C4-D) and infra-red film. In view of the promising results of the research, an improved camera set-up which employs a Bolex camera and 16-mm. infra-red film has been assembled. This equipment is suitable for a wide variety of studies of short-term memory and information-handling in which an objective index of the subject's information-processing effort may yield information of exceptional value in the refinement of theory.

10. <u>PDP-1 Digital Computer</u>. This device became available for project work on completion of Dr. Ward Edwards' contract with the Decision Sciences Laboratory, Air Force Electronic Systems Division, and has been used with great benefit in four project studies, two of which would not have been possible without it. A proposal has been submitted (A. W. Melton, Principal Investigator) for the retention of this equipment for use on this ARPA project and further studies of decision processes by Dr. Edwards (now supported by AFOSR and NASA). However, no final decision has been announced. If retained, the University of Michigan will replace ancillary equipment removed by another contractor (AEC supported) when a joint-use agreement was terminated. This equipment has made possible the discovery of a critical limitation of the trade-off of speed and accuracy in reaction-time studies, and of the "random-walk" model for the decision process involved therein. Also, it has made possible the exploration of the "stimulus-correction" procedure in studies of learning and memory (a procedure in which the S is shown the stimulus appropriate to the response he made, rather than the response appropriate to the stimulus shown as a cue, which is the traditional method). Finally, the PDP-1 Computer has been used extensively in the preparation of punch-tape stimulus sequences and condition sequences for all studies involving punch-tape programs. These studies make clear that the further work of the project (under Contract No. AF 49(638)-1736) will require a digital computer with at least the capabilities of the PDP-1.

PART III

RESEARCH PROGRAM*

A. Taxonomy of Human Information Handling

Our work on a taxonomy for the field of human performance has been focused at two levels. On the one had we have worked on a description that emphasizes the classification of information processing tasks at a macroscopic level. The essence of the taxonomy we are developing at this level is embodied in the papers of Posner (1964, 1965, 1967) and of Fitts (1964, 1967).

Tasks are classified as to whether they are information conserving (one to one mapping of stimuli to responses) or information reducing (many-to-one mapping of stimuli to responses). Within the class of information reducing tasks we have identified three distinct types:

(1) Gating or filtering tasks in which, on the basis of preparatory set or attention, some information can be ignored;

(2) Classification tasks in which information must be labeled or assigned to supraordinate categories; and

(3) Non-isomorphic translation tasks such as addition or multiplication in which a well-defined algorithm or combination rule is provided.

This level of taxonomy provides a starting point for practically oriented engineers to abstract task requirements analyzable in terms of scientific data. For the research scientist it provides an aid to the process of delineating the domains to which his data are applicable.

---

*References at the end of Part III are categorized as "project products" and 'other references." The data of the former will be underlined in the text.

16

On the other hand we have been working on a more microscopic
approach to taxonomy that emphasizes the human internal processing capaci-
ties and limitations that are assumed to contribute to integrated skilled
performance. Work in our laboratory (Biederman, 1966; Egeth, 1966, 1967;
Smith, 1965, 1967) and elsewhere has led to the identification of distinct
steps in the processing of specific stimuli that are distinguishable in
terms of inferences from distinct experimental operations. These process-
ing stages include a preprocessing stage, in which stimuli are encoded for
later processing; a recognition or identification stage in which encoded
stimuli are compared with stored replicas or characteristic features and
having as an output the label identifying that stimulus; a response
selection phase that associates an appropriate response with the labeled
stimulus; and finally a response execution phase in which the pattern of
muscle activity appropriate to the particular response is generated. The
enumeration and quantitative description of each of these processing stages
is far from complete, but this level of taxonomy has much long range
potential. It focuses on the operator characteristics rather than system
characteristics and tends to maximize the capacity to generalize to any
class of skilled task. It is, in short, a theory of the mechanisms involved
in the adaptive information-processing behavior of man.

Memory, which is the _ servation of information from previous
experience, whether long-term or short-term, has a key role in all infor-
mation processing tasks. At the macroscopic level it now seems clear that
an operational distinction is required between a pre-perceptual storage of
information in a short-term sensory storage system and a post-perceptual
storage system which may, itself, involve distinct characteristics for very-
short-term storage (i.e., up to 2-3 sec. or 5-6 intervening events) and

intermediate or long-term storage. Likewise, the memory process is now
clearly seen as one involving separable processes associated with storing
(what gets into storage), storage (what happens during storage), and
retrieval (what happens at the time of retrieval, and how this influences
the output of the memory system). These matters will be discussed
further in a later section on memory.

Closely allied with the taxonomy of information handling tasks
and processes is the assembly, collation, and summarization of knowledge
in the domain of our research. This has received special emphasis within
the project, and a number of significant integrative reviews of the litera-
ture have been published. Dr. Martin published a review of theoretical
models of concept utilization (1965a) and a review and theoretical inte-
gration of the determinants of positive and negative transfer in verbal
learning (1965b); Dr. Melton reviewed the role of process concepts in
theory and experiments on individual differences in memory, learning, and
information handling (1967a); Dr. Egeth reviewed data and theory on
selective attention (1967); Dr. Smith published an analysis of the major
theoretical positions with respect to choice reaction time (1967a); Dr.
Fitts made an analysis of cognitive factors in information processing,
which was published posthumously (1967); Dr. Posner published an analysis
of the roles of memory and thought in human intellectual performance
(1965); and Dr. Pew published a summary of human information-processing
concepts for engineers (1965a) and a review of psychological research
relevant to the human factors engineering of man-machine systems (1965b).
A number of other literature review efforts were in progress at the time
Contract No. AF 49(638)-1235 terminated, and will be completed under
Contract No. AF 49(638)-1736.

B. Selective Information Handling Processes

In our view, selective information handling and retentivity
(memory) are the two most fundamental characteristics of the operation of
the central nervous system in the control of adaptive behavior. These
selective processes operate at a number of different points in the flow
of information within the organism (from input to output), and it is not
yet known whether all of these selective processes are coordinate. Our
work has given major emphasis to selective attention and perception, the
choice of appropriate actions, and retrieval from short- and long-term
memory.

Selective Attention and Perception

In an information processing system the acquisition and encoding
(recognition) of information is hypothesized to be a necessary stage before
any further processing or storage can take place (Smith, 1967). Since the
ear, the eye, and other senses are sensitive to much more information than
can be economically utilized by the limited-capacity CNS, the study of
information handling leads immediately to questions of how this input
information is selected, the mechanisms of attention, or alternatively,
how unwanted information is gated or filtered out.

In recent years the conceptualization of the mode of operation
of this attentional, or filter, mechanism has been a focal issue in research.
The critical issue is whether this initial stage of selective processing
occurs only on a single "channel," as defined by an attentional set, or
whether it occurs simultaneously in parallel channels. It was originally
hypothesized (by Broadbent, 1957) that attention was a single-channel
mechanism, and that the appearance of parallel processing was the result
of (a) the short (less than .5 sec.) switching time of attention, and (b)
a short-term preperceptual storage of incoming sensory information. Thus,

the performance of human operators in divided-attention tasks was con-
sidered to be a consequence of demands of the task for sampling the
information in the two (or more) channels if accurate perception and
response were to be achieved. More recently, the evidence brought forward
by Treisman (1964) and others suggests that the filter acts more like an
attenuator of unwanted signals than like an all-or-none gate.

Our research to date on this problem has been directed toward
the observation of performance in a variety of div' ed-attention tasks,
with emphasis on discovering the degradation of performance, if any, in
such tasks. Thus it has been found that there is no deterioration in
performance on a visual tracking task or on a tactual detection task when
these are performed simultaneously (Triggs, Unpublished). In another
study (Fitts and Dawson, Unpublished), it has been found that the speed of
2-choice reaction is decreased when the task is time-shared with a continu-
ing memory-span task, with the amount of the effect increasing as the
memory load increases and as the RT task shifts from high S-R compatibility
to low S-R compatibility. In still another study, it has been found that
the accuracy of report of a visual stimulus is not related to the temporal
interval (0-500 msec.) between it and an auditory stimulus to which the
subject also attended, but that the accuracy of report of the auditory
stimulus showed marked effects of the interstimulus interval (Kamlet, 1965).
These results suggest that the subject's ability to process information in
parallel depends on the number and nature (visual, auditory, tactual) of
the sense modalities that receive the information, the very-short-term
sensory storage for those modalities, or both.

It needs to be recognized that there are two kinds of questions
that can be asked about the attentional filter. One is whether and under

what conditions attention can be restricted to a particular attribute,

ategory, or modality of input information. This is tne problem of "con-

centration of attention" and distraction, and it is noteworthy that the

evidence against an all-or-none single-channel mechanism is the demonstra-

tion that information on a non-attended input channel may be perceived

and responded to appropriately even though the channel source is not

recognized (Treisman, 1964). The other is the question whether attention

can be shared by two or more discriminable aspects of the input informa-

tion, and under what conditions this sharing can be accomplished without

degradation of performance. Both of these modes of operation of attention

are important in real-life information processing tasks, and it is our

expectation that a general theory of selective information handling will

be applicable to both modes. To date, our major effort, and the effort of

others, has been devoted to the question whether attention <u>can</u> be shared

simultaneously by different aspects of the input. In our view, this

issue is far from decision, and it may be that the so-called single-channel

mode represents an extreme case of attenuator cperation. If this is so,

then factors such as (a) the discriminability of the cues for attentional

selection, (b) the informational characteristics of the stimulus sequ-

ences, (c) the compatibility of the output responses, (d) the practice of

the subject on the processing task, and (e) the feedback and payoff to the

subject, may be the critical variables in determining the degree of approxi-

mation of selective attention to the single-channel or parallel-channel

modes.

The problems discussed thus far may be thought of as relating to

the more molar aspects of selective perception, i.e., determination of

whether or not input information is responded to and how man can handle

simultaneous inputs each of which much be responded to. Another equally important set of problems that has been worked on even more intensively by ourselves and others may be thought of as the micromolar aspects of selective perception. These are involved whenever it can be demonstrated that man responds more quickly or correctly to a specific stimulus within a class (or a "channel") for which he has been preset. The main bulk of the project work to date on selective information handling has been devoted to this selectivity of perception at the micromolar level. The reason for this emphasis is that, in our view, the processing of an input signal to the point where it is identified (recognized) is the keystone of a theoretical model of information processing behavior.

The basic question in selective perception at the level of specific stimuli (like the letter "F") is the determination of the speed and accuracy of the recognition response. However, in all objective analyses of such recognition processes it is necessary to have a distinctive overt response indicator of the recognition response, such as saying "F" or pressing a key marked "F." A lengthened RT or an error may index a slower and inaccurate recognition response or a slower and inaccurate translation of the correct recognition response into the overt response that is observed. Our work (see Fitts, 1967; Fitts and Biederman, 1965; Peterson, 1965). as well as the work of others, indicates that RT to the letter "F" is much slower or incompatible S-R relationships (such as would be the case with a keypress response on a scrambled typewriter keyboard) than for a highly compatible S-R relationship (such as saying "F"). Such slower RTs and/or increased error rates seem more likely to be the fault of the Recognition Response-Overt Response code than a fault of the recognition response per se. On the other hand, an increase in RT with impoverishment

of a stimulus display (such as obtained by representing "F" by a pattern
of only 6 black dots), when a highly compatible naming response is used as
the overt response, seems more likely to be a fault of the recognition re-
sponse per se.

Our approach to the experimental analysis of the recognition
process has employed several different task situations. Our early work
was largely limited to the choice reaction task with chief reliance placed
or the measure of reaction speed over large blocks of trials. With this
method (and highly compatible S-R codes) it has been shown that RT
is a function of the size of the functional set of alternative stimuli
which may not always be as small as the size of the normal set (Fitts and
Switzer, 1962). RT also shows the effects of practice and the transfer
of practice from a larger to a smaller set and vice versa (Fitts, 1967),
the effects of involving rule-type mediational processes in the transla-
tion of a recognition response into an overt response (Fitts, 1964), the
effects of stimulus redundancy, i.e., unequal probabilities of occurrence
(Fitts, Peterson and Wolpe, 1963; Ekel and Fitts, Unpublished), and the
effects of costs and payoffs (Fitts, 1966) and of the criteria for "slow"
and "fast" responses (Pachella and Pew, In press) on the relation between
response latency and response accuracy.

In recent work on selective perception we have employed a
variety of perceptual and memorial "search" tasks of the types introduced
by Neisser (1963), and Sternberg (1963). For example, the subject is
required to answer "yes" or "no" to indicate whether a presented stimulus
does or does not contain a "target" stimulus or stimuli. Neisser (1963)
has reported that well-practiced subjects (having worked for many days with
the same "target" stimuli) are able to search for many targets simultane-

ously with the same speed *tt they search for a single target. This implies

a capability for parallel processing of input information into recognition or

non-recognition responses. Our studies (Egeth and Smith, <u>Unpublished</u>) con-

firm this finding only for highly practiced subjects and for searches for

2 or 4 target stimuli; a search for a single target stimulus is always faster.

Further negative evidence on the parallel processing mode has been obtained

by Egeth (<u>1966</u>) in a study designed to determine whether the several dimensions

of two stimuli that must be called "same" or "different" are compared simultane-

ously or as a self-terminating serial process.

In another line of investigation, using a technique similar to that

of Sternberg (1963), Smith (<u>1965</u>) examined the effect of stimulus familiarity

and target familiarity when the target set (1, 2, or 4 words) was held in

recent memory and a single stimulus word was said to be present or not present

in the target set. The data support the interpretation that familiarity has

its effect on memory, rather than perception, which is to say that the princi-

pal factor in speed of recognition is the degree of learning of the recogni-

tion response and its associative connections with concept labels.

A number of competing theoretical notions about the recognition

process are current. Our early observations on choice reaction time appeared

to favor an interpretation of stimulus recognition, and of the effects of size

of stimulus set, redundancy, and practice on it, as a statistical decision

process based on sequential sampling of stimulus input with optional stopping

(Fitts, <u>1966</u>, <u>1967</u>). However, very recent work by Edwards and Swensson

(<u>Unpublished</u>) suggests that the data of Fitts which implied a continuous

trade-off function for speed and accuracy may have been an artifact of the

arbitrary definition of "fast" and "slow" responses or the discrete payoff for

"fast" and "slow" responses, both of which were forced by equipment limitations.

Edwards and Swensson studied the trade-off function using our PDP-1 com-
puter, which permitted immediate display of feedback to S in the form of
a cost which was linearly related to his reaction tir , with this cost
being subtracted from the payoff which was earned for correct or incorrect
responses. There was no evidence for a continuous speed-accuracy trade-
off with the simple discrimination required in this experiment, and the
results therefore contradict the expectaticns of the "random walk" model
and imply that processing of sensory information in quantized "chunks" may
be unalterable.

While our present data on choice reaction time appear to demon-
strate that the random walk model does not apply to some recognition
processes, they may indicate merely that with very simple stimuli and
tasks in .mplete information processing is impossible. Other search models
have been proposed by Hick (1952) and Welford (1960) for the choice
reaction time data, and still others, with additional hypotheses about
self-terminating or exhaustive search, have been proposed for the types of
data obtained by Egeth and Smith (Unpublished), Smith (1965), and Egeth
(1966). Much remains to be done before a choice can be made between the
various theoretical models of the recognition process, cr before the
appropriateness of different models to different task situations can be
specified with confidence.

Performance Factors in Selective Information Handling

In stating the preceding area of work which emphasized the analy-
sis of selective attention and perception, it was necessary to make state-
ments conditional upon the operation of such factors as practice in the task,
level of learning of a category response, S-R compatibility and other char-
acteristics of the reaction system involved in the task, and principles of

26

response selection (decision). A complete and well-founded sub-process

theory of selective attention and perception will need systematic informa-

tion about the effects of these variables if the theory is to be useful in

moving to analysis and prediction in real-life tasks, with a detailed task

taxonomy as the intermediary. For this reason, we have identified a set of

principal variables that deserve special emphasis in our effort to achieve a

useful theory and task taxonomy. Our present thinking about these variables

is indicated in the following subsections.

Type of processing required.-- A basic distinction in our taxonomy

of information handling tasks is the one between information conserving and

information reducing tasks. This distinction remains valid even though

information conserving tasks are known to involve selective attention and

perception and therefore have subprocesses (e.g., attentional filtering and

recognition as discussed in the preceding section) that involve information

reduction. Thus, all human behavior is information-reducing if one uses the

environment or even the effective stimulation of the sensorium of the organism

as the point of measurement of input information. Such pre-perceptual informa-

tion reduction is of considerable theoretical and applied interest under some

circumstances. For example, the human capability to resist "distraction of

attention" in any type of information processing task, and the techniques for

giving support to such resistance to distraction (e.g., quiet, padded chairs,

music, etc.) have interested students of human performance for some years.

While recognizing this as a legitimate area of interest, we consider the dis-

tinction between tasks that involve post-perceptual information conservation

and post-perceptual information reduction to be more interesting, more important,

and more subject to fundamental human engineering. In such tasks the reference

point for the measurement of input information, with later processes in the

information flow determining whether conservation or reduction occurs, is the informati $\cdot$ that must be responded to (coded, identified, recognized) in the attended "channel" or "channels." The prefix "post-perceptual" will be implied in our further discussion of information conserving and information reducing tasks.

Information conserving tasks may differ widely with respect to (a) the information load placed on short-term memory, and (b) the requirements for speed and accuracy in output responses. These differences seem to be quantitative, and are the particular objects of our work in the program on short-term memory as well as our work with choice reaction times. By contrast, tasks that fit the information reducing category involve differences that appear to be qualitative and structural, as well as a quantitative difference in difficulty or complexity (processing load). They also differ along the two quantitative dimensions that apply to information conserving tasks. The major sub-classes of informat $\cdot$ reducing tasks appear to be those that are described as filtering (search) tasks and information condensing tasks. In a filtering task, such as scanning a map display for airfield symbols, all information must be processed, but only the airfield symbol is reflected in the output response. In a condensing task, such as the determination of the number of aircraft in the traffic pattern above and below 5,000 ft., the operator is required to reflect all input information in the output response, but the response "loses" a part of the input (e.g., the exact coordinates of the aircraft). All formal categorization tasks are of this sort, as are tasks of addition, multiplication, probability estimates, and in fact any decision in which converging information channels are reduced to a single judgment or act (Posner, 1965).

Our work (Fitts and Biederman, 1965) has indicated that human

operators achieve a certain amount of filtering with considerable effic-
iency, the irrelevant information producing only a slight degradation
of performance. In fact, as previously cited, there is evidence that
practiced subjects can search for four or more targets as well as for
two (Egeth and Smith, Unpublished). In contrast, those tasks that involve
information condensing appear to require greater time and involve a higher
error rate. However, there are strong interactions introduced by the
response modes employed, such that performance on a 4-Stimulus--4 Response
information conserving task is faster and more accurate than performance on
a 4S-2R reducing task when a compatible S-R coding is employed, but the
reverse is true when an incompatible S-R coding is employed (Fitts and
Biederman, 1965). In other work it has been shown that the difficulty of
an information condensing task is closely related to the amount of reduc-
tion of information from the perceived input to the output response
(Posner, 1964). This has led to the hypothesis that different amounts of
information reduction involve different amounts (or proportions) of the
information processing capacity of the subject, and thus leave less
capacity available for the conscious or unconscious rehearsal of previously
stored information (Posner and Rossman, 1965). The work of Crowder (1954)
on the effect of serial reaction time tasks on short-term memory for
verbal messages, has shown that effects on memory for recent events com-
parable to those observed by Posner and Rossman may be obtained by increas-
ing S-R incompatibility or decreasing the redundancy of the stimulus
sequence.

There appear to be many ways of increasing the "information
processing load" of man, and that the amount of this load is related to the
speed and accuracy of performance on a primary task and to performance on

time-shared secondary tasks. Obviously an index of "information proces-

sing load" that is independent of task performance would have great

utility in further analy... of information handling performance. Follow-

ing the suggestive evidence of Hess and Polt (1964) and Kahneman and

Beatty (1966), who showed that dilations of the pupil are related to

mental activity in problem solving and to performance in short-term mem-

ory, we have studied pupillary responses in a pitch discrimination task

(Kahneman and Beatty, 1967) and pupillary responses during multiple-trial

learning of a list of p...red verbal units (Kahneman, Beatty, and Melton,

Unpublished). The results continue to be promising, although there are

problems with the rapid adaptation of the pupillary response.

Human adaptive capacities.-- Except in the writings of Fitts

(e.g., 1964) about human information handling capabilities and limita-

tions there has been very l le systematic concern for practice or train-

ing as an important parameter, perhaps even a critical parameter, in the

theoretical and taxonomic analysis of such tasks. Most often it appears

that the investigator or theorist assumes that his adult subjects have

reached asymptotic performance after only relatively brief exposure to

his task. On the basis of observations of the type referred to in earlier

pages of this report, it may be suggested that this assumption is grossly

in error. Thus the slope of the function relating reaction time in a

choice reaction task to the number of alternatives becomes effectively

zero with very highly practiced subjects (Mowbray and Rhoades, 1959) or

even with the casual subject if the S-R coding has very high compatibility

(Leonard, 1959); information reduction through filtering in a search task

appears to operate in a serial mode with subjects at low levels of practice

but in a parallel mode at high levels of practice (Egeth and Smith,

<u>Unpublished</u>); performance in the perceptual and memorial search task of
the type used by Smith (<u>1965</u>) is greatly influenced by the familiarity
(degree of learning, or frequency of occurrence) of the words that stand
in stimulus and target positions; etc.

Once it is recognized that a model of human information handling
processes cannot ignore developmental changes that may occur as a function
of specific practice on the task at hand or transfer of learning from
other tasks that have been practiced, several important consequences fol-
low. First, a set of concepts adequate to describe these changes must be
invented and validated or imported from learning theory. The literature
on skill learning and concept learning seem to be the most appropriate
source for concepts to describe these cognitive skills so many of which
depend on category utilization. Secondly, these effects and the appro-
priate conditions for their occurrence must be investigated in the specific
context of human information handling processes and tasks. Finally, the
adaptive changes in task characteristics must be incorporated in our
taxonomy of tasks and in the assessment of individual and population
capacities and limitations with respect to those tasks.

Fitts made several important contributions to this emphasis on
adaptive changes in information handling processes before his death (Fitts,
1964; Fitts, <u>1966</u>; Fitts and Radford, <u>1966</u>), in addition to his repeated
emphasis on the importance of the compatibility of S-R codes (e.g., Fitts
and Biederman, <u>1965</u>; Peterson, <u>1965</u>; Biederman, <u>1966</u>). Compatibility of
S-R codes is largely a product of prior learning.

In addition to this emphasis on long-term observation and shaping
of performance capabilities and process characteristics, we have also been
concerned with the linkage--in both theory and experiment of the performance

theory and learning theory approaches to the formation and utilization of categories and concepts. Initial theoretical steps in this direction have been taken in Martin's (1967a) paper on "Formation of concepts" and in an experiment by Martin and Melton (Unpublished) in which the effect on short-term memory of extended training in discriminative responding (Donders Type b and c) to two arbitrary categories of consonants was determined. Memory for mixed-category quadrigrams was shown to be substantially less than for within-category quadrigrams.

Decision processes.-- A feature of all selective information handling is response or act selection i.e., the selection of some particular response as the output of the system. Such response selections, or decisions, are not, however, limited to output response of the organism, even though some output response must occur as the terminus of any information flow of which we have objective evidence. The process and subprocess conceptualizations of various decision-theory models, especially signal detection theory, have proved useful in the understanding of stimulus recognition, of execution of classification response following recognition, and of retrieval of information from memory. Even though perhaps not the appropriate model in some instances, of particular significance is the conception of sequential sampling of information for decision making. At least equally important are our adaptations of the methods of signal detection theory to the measurement of the "receiver operating characteristics" (d' and β) in our studies of short-term recall and recognition memory (Bernbach, 1965; Conrad, 1967). The important ties with decision theory have been developed and expanded by Edwards (Edwards, 1965; Edwards and Swensson, Unpublished).

C. Information Storage and Retrieval (Memory)

A major objective of the contract program was to subject human short-term memory (STM) to intensive analysis in order to understand the capacities and limitations of man in the storage and retrieval of rapidly shifting information when such is his principal task (as when he is merely an information transmitting link in a system) and when such is a necessary sub-process in other information-processing tasks (as when he must "hold in mind" some information while performing some mental operation on other information). For both theoretical and practical reasons, major emphasis has been placed on the dependent relationship between accuracy of recall or recognition of a to-be-remembered unit (the message) and (a) the characteristics of the message, (b) the characteristics of the events that intervene between the storage of the message and the moment of attempted retrieval, (c) the characteristics of the events that precede the storage of the message, and (d) the effect of duration and frequency of presentation of the message. The work of the project will be summarized under these headings, with a final section on theoretical implications.

Characteristics of the Message

A message may vary in length, as defined by the number of elements in it, in the nature of the elements (e.g., letters, digits, words, objects), and in the organization or structure of the elements.

Number of elements.-- It has been well-known for many years that there is a limit to the number of elements that man can recall without error (either in elements or order) after a single presentation. This is the "memory span." Because of intraindividual variability in performance, and perhaps also because of the variability in the structural characteristics of messages of the same length, as perceived by the individual subject, the

memory span must be given a statistical definition. It is the number of arbitrarily arranged elements that can be recalled in completely correct order 50 per cent of the time after a single presentation that has sufficient duration to allow veridical perception of each element. The opportunity for recall is given immediately following the presentation of the last element in the message. For experimental subjects of the type used in our experiments, the average memory span is approximately 8 random digits, 7 random consonants, and 5.5 unrelated high-frequency words (4-letter nouns).

While the function relating percentage of perfect recall to the number of elements in the message, from which the 50 per cent span value is determined, reflects the effect of number of message elements on STM, it fails to reveal many facts of interest and theoretical importance about that relationship. However, before turning to these additional facts and the methods used to obtain them, one implication of memory-span data that is frequently neglected is worth emphasizing. A man who has a memory span for digits of, say, 9.0 will sometimes falter in the immediate recall of a 5-digit sequence. Therefore, when one is considering man as an information transmitting link in a system in which the overall error tolerance should be very low (e.g., $p_{error} = .001$) the veridical memory span (VMS) of man is much lower than the memory span. For example, Cardozo and Leopold (1963) have shown that the VMSs for random digits and random letters are approximately 6 digits and 4.3 letters with sequential auditory presentation and 6 digits and 5.1 letters with simultaneous visual presentation. Our data on STM for strings of unrelated 4-letter nouns suggest that the VMS for such messages presented visually (and simultaneously) is slightly greater than 3.0.

Further evidence on the severely limited capacity of man to transmit alphanumeric or verbal messages without error comes from studies of the accuracy of recall of messages well below the memory span when some rehearsal preventing activity is interpolated between message presentation and recall, even though the interval is quite short. Following the lead of Brown (1958) and Murdock (1961), we have done four experiments in which the number of elements in the to-be-remembered message and the duration of the retention interval filled with dissimilar but rehearsal-preventing activity were varied in a factorial design. Melton, Crowder, and Wulff (Unpublished, but described in Melton, 1963) studied retention of 1-, 2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-consonant messages after .7, 4, 12, and 32 sec., when presentation was visual and simultaneous; Melton and Crowder (Unpublished) compared visual and auditory sequential presentation of 2-, 3-, and 4-consonant messages after .7, 4, 12, and 32 sec.; Noyd (Unpublished) studied retention of 2-, 3-, and 5-noun visual messages after 4, 8, and 24 sec.; and Melton and Fuchs (Unpublished) studied the retention of 3- and 5-noun messages after 0, 4, 8, and 16 sec. when the message had been read off from visual presentation 1, 2, 3, and 4 times before later attempted recall. Under all conditions there was a very orderly relationship between the slope of the forgetting curve and the number of elements in the to-be-remembered message. Further, the recall of the first element in the message is subject to the same degrading effects of number of elements as are other elements which involve both element and order memory, and even a message of only one element is not perfectly recalled if the interval before recall is as great as 4 sec. Clearly, the number of elements in a message is an important factor in short-term forgetting even though the number is well below the veridical memory span. This fact is referred to as intrastimulus interference (II)

based on the number of elements drawn from a single category of elements.

A much more complex question is the effect of similarity of elements within the message and of the coding or recoding of elements within the message into a smaller number of subjective units or "chunks." The complexity derives from the observation that preëstablished sequential relationships between elements in a to-be-remembered message have a beneficial effect on STM at the same time that similarity of elements within a message is expected to have, at least under some circumstances, a detrimental effect on STM. According to the latter principle, the mixture of letters and digits in a message should have a beneficial effect on STM to the extent that the II from letter to letter is greater than the II from digit to letter, and vice versa. However, letter-letter sequences are more likely, on the average, to be compatible with prior habits than are letter-digit sequences (exceptions would be A-1, K-9, B-4), and beneficial effects on STM should be expected from messages that are homogeneous with respect to category of elements (letters, digits, words). Since digits have a smaller range of variation in element-sequence preference or predictability than letters, any sequence of 3 digits (DDD) should be less likely to be remembered as well as a very high frequency non-word trigram (e.g., BUF) and more likely to be remembered better than a very low-frequency trigram (e.g., ZJT). Obviously, STM for messages near the limit of man's memory span (such as 7-element telephone numbers) can benefit from mixtures of letters and digits if, and only if, the letters occur together and form an easily "chunked" subset based either on high-frequency letter-sequence habits or an easily recoded portion of a familiar word (e.g., CAM for CAMbridge, STL for St. Louis).

The work of a number of investigators has shown that these

expectations about the positive transfer of letter-sequence habits to STM for letter sequences are valid. They will not be reviewed or referenced here. Our work has been concerned principally with the intra-stimulus interference effects that result from (a) identity of elements at two positions within a message, (b) acoustic and semantic similarity of elements within a message, and (c) the mixture of categories of elements within a message.

In an exploratory study, Crowder and Melton (1965) confirmed the presence of interference in the immediate recall of 7-digit messages when the same digit occurred in the second and fifth positions or in the second and sixth positions, and perhaps in the second and seventh positions. No effect, or perhaps a facilitative effect, was observed when the repeated element was in the second and fourth positions. Jahnke and Melton (Unpublished) have extended these observations in experiments in which repeated digits occur in all possible positions within an 8-digit message and in which $\underline{S}$ begins recall with the first or fifth digit of the message. These studies show that marked facilitation of recall occurs when a digit is repeated in adjacent serial positions and interference with recall occurs when the three or four other digits occur between the repeated digits. Also, it is shown that the locus of the interference is in the recall process rather than in the storage process, i.e., it is the second occurrence of the repeated digit in recall that is last rather than the second occurrence of the digit in message presentation. Jahnke and Melton (Unpublished) have also studied the effects of acoustic confusability of letters in different positions within a message, rather than identity of letters, and these data are now being analysed.

The acoustic confusability of letters in a message composed of
an otherwise random sequence of letters has been shown by a number of
investigators to be a major source of error in STM. In fact, it is a
very much more powerful factor than the size of the vocabulary of let-
ters used to construct the messages (Conrad and Hull, 1964), or the fre-
quency of particular letters or letter sequences (bigrams) in the English
language (Conrad, Freeman, and Hull, 1965). This interference occurs
whether the messages are presented visually or auditorily and has been
shown not to occur in deaf children (Conrad and Rush, 1965). While work-
ing on our project, Conrad (1967) examined the nature of the errors in
recall of quadrigrams after 2.4 and 7.2 sec. He found that errors attribu-
table to acoustic confusability occurred with non-chance frequency only
at the shorter interval, which he interprets as evidence that acoustic
similarity influences only very short-term memory and that this, in turn,
argues for a decay process in STM. Several investigators have maintained
that STM differs from LTM in that STM is subject to II based on acoustic
confusability while LTM is subject to II based on semantic confusability.
Goggin and Melton (Unpublished) have tested this hypothesis about STM
with 6-word messages that were composed of pairs of homophones or synonyms.
Three rates of presentation (.5, 1.0, 1.5 sec./word) were used to test
the hypothesis that the dominance of the acoustic or semantic similarity
as a source of interference would depend on the time allowed for process-
ing the words into storage. Acoustic similarity was found to produce
more degradation of the immediate recall of the words, as compared with
semantic similarity, although the difference was not nearly so great as
has been previously reported. There was no significant interaction of
this difference with rate of message presentation.

The effects of mixtures of categories of natural elements (e.g., letters and digits) within a message have been examined by a number of investigators. The results are, however, ambiguous and sometimes conflicting, perhaps because random sequences of letters and digits of the same length differ in difficulty, the digits being easier. We have completed one study (Martin and Melton, Unpublished) which takes a different approach to this problem. Our Ss were first trained for several days to make a differential response to two arbitrary subsets of 6 consonants that were otherwise equivalent. Following this training, which was with one group of Ss by the Donders b reaction-time technique (press key 1 to a letter from one set and key 2 to a letter of the other set) and with another group by the Donders c reaction-time technique (press the key to a letter from one set, do nothing to a letter of the other set), the Ss were tested for STM for quadrigrams that were homogeneous (all letters from one set) or heterogeneous (2 letters from one set then 2 letters from the other). Recall of the homogeneous quadrigrams was markedly superior to recall of the heterogeneous quadrigrams. In the heterogeneous quadrigrams the major difficulty in recall occurred at the transition from the bigram of one set to the bigram of the second set. Although further work needs to be done before the implications of these findings for the problem of message structure are clear, it appears that a meaningful new method of attack on the problem has been developed.

In addition to the intra-message factors mentioned above, which relate to the number and similarity of the elements in a message, it is clear that higher levels of organization of messages are involved in everyday communication and STM. At a relatively primitive level, it is clear that a common English word acts like a single element in STM, whether seen

or heard, even though composed of several letters or phonemes. Thus, it is known that the STM function for a three-word message is approximately the same as for a three-consonant message (Murdock, 1961). It seems that the basic determinant of the memory span and the veridical memory span is the number of subjective units ("chunks") that man perceives in the message rather than the amount of information (in bits) in the message (Miller, 1956).

A higher-order organization in language communication is the sentence, and it becomes necessary to extend the investigation of message structure to STM for sentences if the generality of our findings is to be extended and supported. Using the Peterson-Peterson (1959) STM situation, we have shown that English sentences are forgotten over retention intervals up to 40 sec. in much the same manner as are more isolable verbal units (e.g., consonants, words) (Martin, Roberts, & Collins, In press). Moreover, in correspondence with the effect or STM of varying the number of independent units presented for recall, we have found that sentence length is a highly significant factor (Martin & Roberts, In press). Thus the independent variables of retention interval and string length have the same effects on the more structured messages of the English language as they have on strings of independent alphanumeric units.

What appears in sentences that is absent in the memory materials used in preceding sections of this report is redundancy, that is, sequential grammatical constraint. A measure of this constraint was first published by Martin and Roberts (1966). This measure indexes the amount of structural complexity that obtains among the words of a sentential message. Systematic manipulation of this measure as an independent

40

variable has revealed important characteristics about how messages are processed into memory.

First, it has been shown (Martin & Roberts, 1366; Martin, Roberts, & Collins, In press) that when sentence complexity and sentence length are controlled, the syntactic type (e.g., active, passive) of the to-be-remembered sentence is not a factor in retention. Studies outside our laboratory have confounded both of these variables with syntactic type, have accordingly observed significant effects on retention, and not surprisingly have therefore based much of their theorizing on the effects of syntactic type (e.g., Miller, 1962; Mehler, 1963).

A number of experiments and detailed analyses of error patterns have revealed that probably the major factor in erroneous recall of sentential information is that of differential word-class effects. Martin, Roberts, and Collins (In press) and Martin and Roberts (Unpublished) have shown that with lengthening retention interval, certain word classes (auxiliary verbs, adverbs, adjectives) are lost more rapidly than others (nouns, main verbs). Moreover, experimental judgments on the importance of the various words in sentences (Martin & Roberts, Unpublished) agrees completely with these differential forgetting rates. In addition, Roberts and Martin (Unpublished), by using the Cloze procedure both in its ordinary form and in a memory situation, have shown that uncertainty (predictability) is highest and uncertainty reduction greatest for those word classes most resistant to forgetting in the STM experiments. Throughout all of these experiments, the differential word-class effects just described are aggravated with greater structural complexity. What this means is that key word classes are more selectively attended to, and hence non-key word classes are more effectively ignored, the greater are the grammatical

constraints on the message.

In keeping with this picture of what structural organization does to the processing of sentential information, we were not surprised to find (Martin & Roberts, Unpublished) that recall errors are rated more similar or substitutable, meaning-wise, for their presented versions when those presented versions are of greater grammatical complexity. This result obtains in spite of the fact that verbatim recall of more complex sentences is poorer. Thus structural constraint serves to emphasize words that are key to the meaning of the sentence, to the memory detriment of less meaningful elements of the sentence. In short, the degree of selectivity for processing among sentence words follows directly the amount of grammatical complexity. Recall proper, then, consists of generating anew a proper English sentence around the key elements retained. Multiple routes of inference suggest that the reliably recurrent "soft spots" in processing messages in English are almost certainly encoding as opposed to retrieval phenomena.

Research on the role of intonative information in sentence retention has failed to implicate intonation is a significant factor. Apparently, intonative cues only weakly serve to signal grammatical redundancy (Martin, Roberts, and Hallahan, Unpublished) and only under irregular circumstances underlie resolution of syntactic ambiguity (Martin & Collins, Unpublished).

Time and Events Preceding Retrieval

From what has already been said about STM for messages of
various kinds and length, it is clear that STM deteriorates very rapidly
over a period of 30 sec. of rehearsal preventing activity. Other things
being equal, this forgetting is greatest in the first few seconds after
termination of presentation of the to-be-remembered unit and the rate
of forgetting decreases progressively over the interval. The contin-
uity of this decrement in recall over intervals up to 30 sec. has,
however, been questioned by those (e.g., Waugh and Norman, 1965;
Murdock, 1963) who believe that two distinct memory mechanisms are
involved. They maintain that retrieval from a true STM, or "primary
memory" is limited to a very short period following stimulus termination
(usually specified in terms of the number of intervening perceptual
events, with the limit at 6 to 8, which would be correlated with time
periods of 2 to 4 sec.). Retrieval of message information during that
short period is influenced by both primary memory and "secondary mem-
ory" (LTM), retrieval after that short period is influenced by secon-
dary memory alone. The forgetting function for the latter memory has
very little, if any, slope over considerable periods of time or numbers
of intervening perceptual or irrelevant information-processing events.
Formal conclusions on this question of a single continuum of STM and
LTM or a 2-process interpretation of the observed forgetting curves
over intervals up to 30 sec. would, in our opinion, be premature. How-
ever, it is quite clear that the first few seconds or intervening events
following the storage of the message are the most damaging, and this
damage is greater the greater the complexity and the less the degree of
learning of the message (Melton and Fuchs, Unpublished).

It is also of considerable significance that the correlation
is now considered to be between the forgetting and the number of inter-
vening events, rather than between the forgetting and time per se.
This suggests that the forgetting is related to the displacement of
the message from a buffer-storage mechanism or its erasure by subse-
quent events, rather than to some autonomous decay process. However,
buffer displacement theories have as yet specified no specific relation-
ship between the probability of displacement and the similarity of the
message elements and displacing events. Such theories, therefore, mini-
mize implicitly wh : decay theories minimize explicitly; namely, the
effect of similarity of interpolated activity on probability of retrieval.
Rather, they lead to the expectation that the amount of forgetting would
be correlated with the vigor or completeness of the description of mes-
sage-initiated CNS activity. The effect of similarity under these cir-
cumstances is, of course, a basic principle of forgetting (Retroactive
Interference) in the S-R associationistic interference theory of long-
term forgetting. The application of LTM theory to STM, on the assumption
that LTM and STM are regions on a continuum, leads to the expectation
that STM would be markedly affected when the message and the activity
interpolated between message reception and retrieval are highly similar
but not identical. Data obtained within the project and elsewhere (e.g.,
Wickelgren, 1966) support both expectations and a well-developed theory
that handles both expectations has yet to be formulated. In fact, much
more detailed and parametric studies of both expectations will be re-
quired before a comprehensive theory is possible.

Similarity of interpolated activity.-- Several minor studies
persuaded us early in the project that the amount of forgetting of

consonant trigrams and word triads was greater over all intervals up to
30 sec. when S engaged in rapid reading of elements of the same class
than when the elements were of a different class, and that the inter-
polated reading of digits was the least interfering. Others (e.g.,
Wickelgren, 1966) have shown an effect of phonemic similarity on retro-
active interference in STM.

In our view, the greatest need for data on the effects of
similarity of the interpolated activity was in very-short-term memory.
Ligon and Reicher (Unpublished) have shown very rapid forgetting of a
consonant trigram over 1, 2, 4, and 8 intervening readings of consonants
when all stimuli (to-be-remembered elements and interpolated elements)
are read from a visual display at the maximum "shadowing" rate of the S
(range 4/sec. to 3/sec.). Subsequent research by Ligon (Unpublished,
part of a doctoral thesis to be submitted in 1967) with a similar method
has shown that the amount of forgetting is a function of the similarity
of the interpolated elements (consonants vs. digits following a 3-con-
sonant message); and with a fixed number of interpolated elements, the
forgetting is a function of the number, but not the location, of the
similar elements. The method of testing for retrieval in this study was
the "probe" method of Waugh and Norman (1965), which avoids many diffi-
culties--especially those related to S's strategies and expectancies--
associated with a method of complete recall of a designated message.
Another study also in progress as a dissertation (Lively, Unpublished)
has shown, by probe recall, that a unique element (e.g., a consonant in
a string of 9 digits, or vice versa) is no better recalled than a same
type element in the same serial positions when it is cued as a response
by presenting the preceding element. However, when used as a stimulus

probe for the succeeding element, a unique element is a more effective cue. This suggests that heterogeneity of elements may favor stimulus recognition in recall, which fits other data from the project which will be described later.

Much remains to be learned about the effects of similarity of interpolated activities on STM. However, it seems clear that several dimensions of similarity have marked effects on retrieval of a to-be-remembered unit. It also appears that these effects of similarity can be readily demonstrated within the range of very-short-term, or "primary" memory, as well as over filled intervals that are outside the range of "primary" memory. Perhaps the most interesting observation on this problem is Ligon's (Unpublished) dissertation that shows a relation-ship between the very-short-term forgetting and the number of interpolated similar elements, with total number of interpolated elements constant, but no relationship between the location of these similar items in the retention interval and the amount of forgetting.

Difficulty of interpolated activity.-- When similarity of the to-be-remembered message and the stimulus input during the interpolated retention interval is held constant, but the difficulty of the processing of the interpolated stimuli into appropriate responses is varied, the amount of forgetting is greater the greater the difficulty of the inter-polated task. Posner and Rossman (1965) formulated this conclusion in a study in which they manipualted the difficulty of an interpolated task by requiring different amounts of information reduction (Posner, 1964) in a classification of 2-digit numbers. Later, Crowder (1965) demonstrated that more forgetting over short intervals of time occurred when the interval was filled with a serial choice reaction task when the display-

control relationships were incompatible than when they were compatible. He also showed that more forgetting occurred when S was in the early stages of learning a serial choice reaction task with incompatible display-control relationships than in the later stages. It would appear that information-processing load, whether it is made greater by the inherent difficulty of the task or by the activities involved in the early stages of learning the task, affect the retrievability of preceding message in STM. Of considerable interest is recent evidence (Reid, 1967) that the locus of a difficult information processing task in the retention interval is quite critical; it has its maximum effect when located immediately after storage of the message. This contrasts sharply with the evidence on the effects of similar interpolated events.

These findings regarding the effects of different kinds of interpolated tasks, and of different levels of similarity of the interpolated task or events and the to-be-remembered message, suggest that both factors may well be involved in producing the observed rapid short-term forgetting, although undoubtedly differentially involved in different situations involving information conservation. It is noteworthy that the standard situation for the measurement of the memory span is one that involves not only high similarity to the elements that follow each of the to-be-remembered elements in the message, but also similarity and complexity of processing of elements that follow each of the to-be-remembered elements, if the S is highly motivated in his intent to learn and retain. This also is consistent with data (Brown, 1954) that show the detrimental effect of interpolated activity to be greater in STM if there is intent to learn and recall the interpolated material than if the S merely makes discriminative responses to it.

Events Preceding Storage

Two types of effects of prior events on the storage and later retrieval of information from STM may be expected from the general framework of S-R Association theory. One is that earlier presentation and attempted recall of a message identical to the one currently presented will facilitate immediate or delayed recall of it. The other is that the prior presentation and attempted recall of a message that is similar but not identical with the one currently presented will interfere with delayed recall of it, but not with immediate recall. Both of these effects have been intensively studied in the contract program and elsewhere. The latter is, however, of greater practical and theoretical interest, perhaps because the former is expected from generally accepted theories of the relationship between repetitions, learning, and short-term and long-term retention, while the latter is a prediction from the S-R associative interference theory of forgetting in LTM. The practical interest in the proactive facilitation effects of prior learning of to-be-remembered messages has been discussed in the context of the structure of the message. The practical interest in proactive inhibition of STM by prior events derived from the need, and opportunity, to design tasks in ways that will minimize these deleterious influences on STM.

Proactive facilitation.-- Our work on this problem centers around an issue raised by Hebb in connection with his two-process view of STM and LTM. He considered "immediate" recall of a message, as represented in memory-span measurements, to be reflective of "activity traces" in the CNS, and not productive of permanent, "irreversible," structural traces that are the basis of LTM. He tested this notion (Hebb, 1961) by presenting strings of 9 random digits to subjects as a series of immediate

memory tests. However, every third 9-digit number was the same number, all others being different. The immediate recall of the repeated number improved as the number of repetitions increased, and this was interpreted as contradicting the assumption that "immediate" memory (or STM) was mediated by a unique mechanism or process. Prior to this contract, Melton (1963) confirmed Hebb's finding and showed that the effect of repetitions of a 9-digit number on immediate recall of it was a decreasing function of the number of other 9-digit numbers that were presented and recalled between each occurrence of the repeated number. In the project work this was followed by three studies, all of which used two 9-consonant vocabularies to construct 8- or 9-consonant messages, in which it was shown that (a) the effect of repetition, with fixed intervals between repetitions, was greater when the messages presented and recalled between repetitions used the same set of 9 consonants than when they used the different set of 9 consonants, (b) the effect of repetition was not dependent on the regularity of the occurrence of the repeated message within the sequence of messages, and (c) the effect of repetition did not depend on the location of the similar non-repeated messages in the interval between occurrences of the repeated message (Melton, Unpublished). The general conclusion from these studies is merely that presentation and recall of information from STM, even under conditions that should interfere greatly with consolidation of activity traces into structural traces, does not leave the CNS unaffected in a permanent way. The data do not necessarily deny a two-process theory of memory, since two-process theories now assume that traces may be simultaneously present in the transient activity form and in the relatively permanent, structural form.

Proactive inhibition.-- Initial results on the retention of sub-span messages after short intervals filled with rehearsal-preventing activity failed to demonstrate an interference in the recall of the message as a function of the number of similar messages previously presented and recalled (Brown, 1958; Peterson and Peterson, 1959). S-R interference theory of LTM makes the strong prediction for STM, as well as LTM, that prior learning of a similar but non-identical message should inhibit the retrieval of the last message, with greater inhibition the longer the retention interval for the last message. Therefore, new tests were made of this prediction (Keppel and Underwood, 1962), and the prediction was confirmed. Since that time the phenomenon has been repeatedly demonstrated and there is clear confirmation of the expectation that the amount of proactive interference (PI) in STM is correlated with the similarity of the prior and presently to-be-remembered messages.

Noyd (Unpublished) made the first comprehensive investigation of PI in the project. After preliminary training in the STM task which was explicitly designed to avoid PI effects on the first to-be-remembered message, different groups of 27 Ss had as their first test the recall of 2-, 3-, or 5-noun stimuli after 4, 8, or 24 sec. filled with fast digit reading. All Ss then proceeded to receive all 9 conditions in the experiment with complete counterbalancing of first-order sequence effects (i.e., every condition was preceded in the experiment equally often by itself and every other condition). The major findings were: (a) An increase in PI (decrease in recall) occurred over the first 5 tests in the experiment. At its maximum, PI reduced recall about 50%. (b) There was greater forgetting after 4 sec., the longer the message, on the first test of the experiment (when PI is minimal), but no further forgetting up to 24 sec.

50

By the fourth or fifth test of the experiment, when PI had reached a maxi-
mum, forgetting of all lengths of message was greater the greater the
retention interval. Clearly, the differences in retention after 4 sec.
are a function of what we have called intrastimulus interference, dif-
ferences in retention from 4 sec. to 24 sec. are a function of the PI in
the situation. (c) Within the entire experiment, the probability of cor-
rect recall of a message is least when the length of the to-be-remembered
message and the preceding message is the same. The length of a message
is a common characteristic of successive messages that produces added PI.
(d) The effect of PI on recall are traceable in large part to overt intru-
sions of words from previous messages into the recall of the last message.
Such intrusion errors come most frequently from the most recent messages,
but some come from messages as far back as 10 in the sequence.

Other studies have shown, using the 3- and 5-noun stimuli used
by Noyd, that (a) the probability of erroneous intrusions from previous
messages is greater, the greater the frequency of correct recall of the
last message, whether for reason of its frequency of repetition or its
short retention interval (Melton and Fuchs, Unpublished). (b) The amount
of PI decreases as the rest interval between the recall of one message
and the presentation of the next is increased from 5 sec. to 25 sec.
(Melton and Bronstad, Unpublished). This observation has been confirmed
and extended by Loess and Waugh (Unpublished), who also show that a rest
period of approximately 5 min. must occur if PI is to be eliminated
altogether. (c) PI is increased in the recall of consonant quadrigrams
when the consonants in the preceding message are acoustically confusible
with those in the to-be-remembered quadrigram (Melton and Hauenstein,
Unpublished). (d) Several experiments from the project have demonstrated

that intrusions from immediately preceding messages occur in the recall in the same serial position that they had in their source message, thus demonstrating that serial position coding of message elements may be one source of similarity between messages that produce PI.

These data relate principally to the conditions that produce PI, and the inference is that PI builds up because of similar character- istics of successive messages. This inference has been confirmed by the studies of others which show complete release of STM from high levels of PI when (a) the category of elements in the message changes from 3- digit numbers to consonant trigrams (Wickens, Born, and Allen, 1963), and (b) the concept category of words used in messages changes, e.g., from "metal" words to "furniture" words (Loess, 1966). A study just completed as a doctoral dissertation in the project (Rubin, Unpublished) has shown complete release of STM for 3-word messages from a high level of PI when the mode of presentation is changed from visual to auditory or vice versa.

In summary, proactive interference is present in STM in large amounts, and seriously reduces man's information retrieval capacity, when successive messages have similar elements, the same semantic cate- gory labels, the same lengths, and the same input modality. However, the PI, even though substantial in amount can be eliminated by changing the elements of the message, the semantic category of the words in the message, and the channel of input of the message. Partial release from PI can be gained by varying the lengths of successive messages and the introduction of rest intervals between messages. It is possible, al- though not yet tested, that a shift activity between messages, not rest alone, can release STM from PI. It seems very probable that many subtle

dimensions of the memory task may, if changed, produce a release from PI. These findings are considered to be of considerable potential significance for the human engineering of information transmission tasks.

Recogniton Memory

Substantial progress has been made in the analysis of short-term recognition memory. Throughout these studies, the "continuous recognition memory" method of Shepard and Teghtsoonian (1961) has been employed. In this method, the S sees a long list of items (3-digit numbers, trigrams, words, nonsense forms, objects) in which some or all items occur two or more times. The spacing of the occurrences of an item is a standard variable of interest. As each item is seen, the S is required to designate it (by vocal or key-press response) as "new" or "old" (within the list). The rate of presentation of the items has been from 3 to 6 sec. per item, and all recent studies require S not only to designate the items as "new" or "old" as they occur, but also to indicate his level of confidence in the judgment (on a 3- to 5-point scale). Decision times have been recorded in some studies.

Melton, Sameroff and Schubot (1961) confirmed and extended the observations of Shepard and Teghtsoonian (1961) on recognition memory for 3-digit numbers. False positives (saying "old" to new items) increase rapidly over the first 40 new items, but remain relatively constant thereafter; correct recognition of old items as "old" shows a rapid decline as the number of other items between the first and second occurrence of an item increases, but remains very much higher than the false positive rate even when those occurrences are separated by 20 other items. When an item is falsely called "old" on its first occurrence it has a higher probability of being called "old" on all further occurrences, whatever

the interval, than an item correctly called "new" on its first occur-
rence.

Subsequent studies with the same basic design as the one de-
scribed above have shown the following to be true: (a) Items originally
called "new" with high confidence have a greater probability of being
correctly recognized later as "old" than those called "new" with lower
confidence (Melton, Bernbach and Reicher, Unpublished). (b) The fre-
quency of occurrence of an item is correlated with the probability of
correct recognition of it, at all intervals up to 20 intervening items
(Bernbach, 1965). (c) The recognition memory for consonant trigrams does
not reflect the acoustic confusability of the consonants from which the
trigrams are made, but is markedly affected (both false positive rates
and correct recognitions as "old") by the size of the consonant vocabu-
lary (6, 9, or 18) from which the trigrams are made (Melton and Reicher,
Unpublished). (d) Recognition memory for both consonant-vowel-consonant
and 3-consonant trigrams is related to the association-value ($\underline{M}$) of the
trigram. The higher the association-value the lower the false positive
rate and the higher the correct recognition rate (Melton and Martin,
Unpublished). (e) Recognition memory for high-frequency, 4-letter nouns
is truly exceptional. In a study involving the presentation of 320 dif-
ferent words, the false positive rate increased linearly to a final value
of 18 per cent, and correct recognition of an old word as "old" was 86
per cent even when 80 other items intervened between the first and second
occurrences of a word (Melton and Hauenstein, Unpublished). (f) Payoff
for accuracy of judgments of "old" and "new" and of estimates of confidence
in those judgments has a significant effect on recognition memory, but
training in making such estimates of confidence does not(Phillips and

Melton, Unpublished).

Our basic data on recognition memory in this continuous proces-
sing task have had an important role in the development of a theory about
the relation between recognition memory and recall which appears to be
applicable to a wide variety of learning and short-term memory situations.
Martin (1967) has developed this theory in some detail. The basic idea
is that stimulus recognition is a necessary condition for the elicitation
of a response associated with it, and that stimulus recognition is mediated
by the recurrence of a subjective coding response. This subjective
coding response is more variable the lower the association-value ($\underline{M}$) of
the stimulus. The prediction that stimulus recognition is a necessary
condition for associative response elicitation has been confirmed by
Bernbach (1965) and Martin (In press (2)). Further tests of the theory
are in progress in the contexts of short-term free learning, paired-
associate learning, and transfer and interference in the learning of suc-
cessive sets of S-R relationships.

Theoretical Issues

A quantitative model of STM.-- One of our stated objectives was
to construct a quantitative empirical description of human STM for dis-
crete alphanumeric and verbal messages. The major findings of the research
have been reviewed under headings which identify the principal independent
variables (characteristics of the message, of the period of delay before
recall or recognition, and of the activities prior to storage in STM)
and dependent variables (recall and recognition). These data have not yet
been encompassed by a quantitative model of STM. Although it is clear
that the probability of recall (or recognition) of a message at time $\underline{X}$
can be related, at least for certain specific classes of messages, to the

appropriately weighted values of the independent variables, this is not yet considered to be a profitable formal exercise. There are many unresolved empirical questions about the operation of these variables when the others are held at some arbitrary constant value. Furthermore, it is clear from the data at hand that these independent variables have complex and important interactions which have been detected but not fully described -+ this point in time. A formal quantitative model of an appropriate scc pears to be a reasonable expectation only after these independent variables and their interactions have been specified more exactly by direct empirical studies and theories of the sub-phenomena of STM.

S-R association theory.-- The S-R interference theory of forgetting, as developed in the context of experiments on the forgetting of verbal habits and skills that have been carried to a relatively high level of strength through repetition, is widely accepted as a gross description of the principal factors in human forgetting (Postman, 1961). But it is admittedly incomplete in certain respects and these deficiencies have been the targets of opponents of the application of this theory to STM. As presently formulated, the key process concept in this theory is the concept of "unlearning", i.e., a process whereby a previously learned response (R_1 of S_1-R_1) is made unavailable, or less readily available, in recall even though the competition of the second learned response (R_2 of S_1-R_2) is removed from the recall process. The effects of this unlearning factor are thought to be transitory. Thus, the very rapid forgetting of R_2, which is attributed to PI, is viewed as a consequence of "spontaneous recovery" of R_1 from "unlearning" during the acquisition of R_2.

The concepts of "unlearning" and "recovery" have received

substantial support from studies involving the memorization of lists of
verbal elements, but there is still great uncertainty about the pro-
cesses involved in "unlearning" and recovery therefrom. One hypothesis
about "unlearning" is that it occurs to the extent that previously
learned responses occur as non-reinforced overt or covert intrusion errors
during new learning. However, it is not obvious how this process
hypothesis applies to STM for a string of three words that are read off
in 2 sec. and then show marked and increasing forgetting over periods
up to 30 sec. when the conditions for PI are present. Also, very little
is now known about the process of recovery from such unlearning, except
that it occurs and is time-limited.

We have performed experimental and theoretical analyses in an
effort to refine the process specifications of the S-R interference
theory in order to encompass not only forgetting after multiple repeti-
tions (LTM) but also STM after single presentations that have little
likelihood of allowing unreinforced occurrences of covert or overt
alternative responses. This has involved several studies of memory fol-
lowing multiple repetitions in the retroactive inhibition and proactive
inhibition paradigms of S-R interference theory.

Goggin (In press, a) has examined the hypothesis that the
amount of unlearning of first-list responses is correlated with the
opportunity for their occurrence as errors during second-list learning.
A correlation was observed, but there was substantial unlearning even
when the opportunity for such errors was minimized. In another study
Melton and Kammann (Unpublished) replicated Postman's (Unpublished) find-
ing that recovery from unlearning is complete within 20 min. following
termination of practice on the first list. Other studies (Goggin, In

press, b; Garskof (In press) continue to support the notion that some
form of inhibitory influence (suppression, unlearning, reciprocal inhibi-
tion) is associated with the perception and storage of new information
which is in some way conflictful with information previously stored in
LTM or just recently stored in STM. An explicit theory of how these
processes are involved in STM has not yet been formulated, although one
form of a theory about some aspects of the problem has been formulated
by Martin (1967).

D. **Publications and Other Public Communications That**

Are Products of Contract No. AF 49(638)-1235

A major index of the research productivity of the program is the number of communications to the scientific community. These communications have been of two types: (a) published papers that report specific experimental findings, theoretical integrations of our findings and those of other scientists, or reviews of the literature, and (b) oral presentations at scientific meetings or topical symposia and conferences that report specific experimental findings or theoretical integrations of our findings and those of other sicentists. These two types of products are listed separately in the following pages.

The productivity of the contract work over the period 1 June 1963 through 31 May 1967, as measured by this index, is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4

Number of Published and Oral Communications Based on
Contract No. AF 49(638)-1235 During the 4-Year Period

Year	Number of Published Articles	Number of Oral Presentations
1963 (From 1 June)	0	3
1964	2	3
1965	14	2
1966	9	10
1967 (To 31 May)	9	5
1967 (In Press)	10	--
Total	44	23

There is excessive publication lag (from 12 to 24 months) in the major psychological journals that carry reports of experimental and theoretical work of the type performed on the contract. Also, such journals frequently exclude detailed analyses and tables of data that are useful to ourselves and others working in the same areas. Therefore,

we publish some reports, in advance of journal publication and in a limited edition, as Technical Reports or Memorandum Reports. Prior to 1 January 1966, such technical reports were published as University of Michiagn Technical Reports in a series identified only by the internal project number (e.g., 05823-3-T). Subsequent to 1 January 1966, such technical reports have been published in the Human Performance Center Technical Report series. All doctoral dissertations performed as part of the project work are published as technical reports. Other project work, such as oral presentations at scientific meetings and symposia, and also reports of materials, methods, and equipment of methodological value (not normally published in journals), has been published in the Human Performance Center Memorandum Report series subsequent to 1 January 1966. Before that date there was no formal publication of such materials.

(a) Publications Resulting From Contract No.

AF 49(638)-1235

1964

Crowder, k. G. Verbal short-term memory as a function of degree of learn-
ing on a perceptual-motor interpolated task. Ann Arbor: University
of Michigan Technical Report 05823-3-T, December, 1964, Pp. 120. (Ph.D.
Dissertation)

Pew, R. W. A model of human controller performance in a relay control
system. In Proceedings of the Fifth National Symposium on Human Factors
in Electronics, San Diego: May, 1964.

1965

Bernbach, H. A. Stimulus learning and recognition in paired-associate
learning. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Technical Report 05823-7-
T, August, 1965, Pp. 50. (Ph.D. Dissertation)

Conrad, R., Freeman, P. R., and Hull, A. J. Acoustic factors versus lan-
guage factors in short-term memory. Psychonomic Science, 1965, 3, 57-
58.

Conrad, R., and Rush, M. L. On the nature of short-term memory encoding
by the deaf. J. Speech and Hearir Disorders, 1965, 30, 336-343.

Crowder, R. G., and Melton, A. W. The Ranschburg phenomenon: Failures of
immediate recall correlated with repetition of elements within a
stimulus. Psychonomic Science, 1965, 2, 295-296.

Fitts, P. M., and Biederman, I. S-R compatibility and information reduc-
tion. J. Experimental Psychology, 1965, 69, 408-412.

Kamlet, A. S. Identification of sequential auditory and visual stimuli.
Psychonomic Science, 1965, 3, 419-420.

Martin, E. Concept utilization. In R. D. Luce, R. R. Bush, and E.
Galanter (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Psychology, Vol. III.
New York: Wiley, 1965. Chapter 18, Pp. 205-247. (a)

Martin, E. Transfer of verbal paired-associates. Psychological Review,
1965, 72, 327-343. (b)

Peterson, J. R. Effects of S-R coding on the rate of gain of information.
Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Technical Report 05823-8-T, December,
1965, Pp. 59. (Ph.D. Dissertation)

Pew, R. W. Human information-processing concepts for systems engineers.
In R. E. Machol (Ed.), System Engineering Handbook. New York: McGraw-
Hill, 1965. Chapter 31, Pp. 31-3 to 31-19. (a)

1965 (Continued)

Pew, R. W. Recent psychological research relevant to the human factors engineering of man-machine systems. In Proceedings of the National Electronics Conference, Chicago, Ill., October, 1965. (b)

Posner, M. I. Memory and thought in human intellectual performance. British J. of Psychology, 1965, 56, 197-215.

Posner, M. I., and Rossman, E. Effect of size and location of informational transforms upon short-term retention. J. Experimental Psychology, 1965, 70, 496-505.

Smith, E. The effects of familiarity on the perceptual recognition and categorization of verbal information. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Technical Report 05823-9-T, December, 1965. (Ph.D. Dissertation)

1966

Biederman, I. Human performance in contingent information processing tasks. Ann Arbor: Human Performance Center Technical Report No. 3, October, 1966. (Ph.D. Dissertation)

Egeth, H. E. Parallel versus serial processes in multidimensional stimulus discrimination. Ann Arbor: Human Performance Center Technical Report No. 2, January, 1966. (Ph.D. Dissertation)

Fitts, P. M. Cognitive aspects of information processing: III. Set for speed vs. accuracy. J. Experimental Psychology, 1966, 71, 849-857.

Fitts, P. M., and Radford, B. K. Information capacity of discrete motor responses under different cognitive sets. J. Experimental Psychology, 1966, 71, 475-482.

Martin, E. Stimulus pronunciability in aural paired-associate learning. J. Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1966, 5, 18-22.

Martin, E., and Roberts, K. H. Grammatical factors in sentence retention. J. Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1966, 5, 211-218.

Mylrea, K. C. A sixteen-unit projector with microsecond turn-on time. American J. of Psychology, 1966, 79, 314-317.

Pew, R. W. The acquisition of hierarchical control over the temporal organization of a skill. J. Experimental Psychology, 1966, 71, 764-771.

Smith, E. E., and Egeth, H. E. Effects of association value on perceptual search. J. Experimental Psychology, 1966, 71, 687-690.

1967 (As of May 31, 1967)

Conrad, R. Interference or decay over short retention intervals. J. Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1967, 6, 49-54.

Egeth, H. E. Selective attention. Psychological Bulletin, 1967, 67, 41-57.

Egeth, H. E., and Smith, E. E. Perceptual selectivity in a visual recognition task. J. Experimental Psychology, In press.

Fitts, P. M. Cognitive factors in information processing. Ann Arbor: Human Performance Center Memorandum Report No. 1, February, 1967. (Paper presented at XVIIth International Congress of Psychology, August, 1963.)

Garskof, M. H. Short-term retention of paired associates as a function of instructions and retention measure. J. Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, In press.

Goggin, J. P. First-list recall as a function of second-list learning method. J. Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, In press. (a)

Goggin, J. P. Retroactive inhibition with different patterns of inter- polated lists. J. Experimental Psychology, In press. (b)

Kahneman, D., and Beatty, J. Pupillary responses in a pitch-discrimina- tion task. Perception and Psychophysics, 1967, 2, 101-105.

Martin, E. Stimulus recognition in aural paired-associate learning. J. Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, In press. (a)

Martin, E. Relation between stimulus recognition and paired-associate learning. J. Experimental Psychology, In press. (b)

Martin, E. Formation of concepts. In B. Kleinmuntz (Ed.), Concept Attainment and the Structure of Memory. New York: Wiley, 1967. Pp. 33-67. (c)

Martin, E. Short-term memory, individual differences, and shift perform- ance in concept formation. J. Experimental Psychology, In press. (d)

Martin, E., Roberts, K. H., and Collins, A. M. Short-term memory for sentences. J. Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, In press.

Melton, A. W. Individual differences and theoretical process variables: General comments on the conference. In R. M. Gagne (Ed.), Learning and Individual Differences. Co......., O.: Merrill, 1967. Pp. 238- 252. (a)

Melton, A. W. Decision processes in retrieval from memory. Comment on the contributions of Lloyd R. Cofer and Charles N. Cofer and Professor Peterson. In B. Kleinmuntz (Ed.), Concept Attainment and the Structure of Memory. New York: Wiley, 1967. Pp. 215-225. (b)

1967 (Continued)

Melton, A. W., Samerof, A. J., and Schubot, E. D. Short-term recognition memory. Ann Arbor: Human Performance Center Memorandum Report No. 2, May 1967. (Paper presented to Psychonomic Society, October, 1963.)

Pachella, R. G., and Pew, R. W. The speed-accuracy tradeoff in reaction time: The effect of discrete criterion times. J. Experimental Psychology, In press.

Smith, E. E. Choice reaction time: An analysis of the major theoretical positions. Ann Arbor: Human Performance Center Technical Report No. 6, January, 1967. Pp. 62 + vii. (a)

Smith, E. E. Effects of familiarity on stimulus recognition and categorization. J. Experimental Psychology, In press. (b)

(b) Oral Presentations at Symposia and Scientific Meetings

Based on Contract N AF 49(638)-1235

1963

Fitts, P. M. Cognitive factors in information processing. Invited paper presented at XVIIth International Congress of Psychology, Washington, D. C., August, 1963. (Published as Human Performance Center Memorandum Report No. 1, February, 1967.)

Fitts, P. M. S-R compatibility. Presidential address before the Annual Meeting of the Human Factors Society. Palo Alto, Calif., November, 1963.

Melton, A. W., Sameroff, A. J., and Schubot, L. D. Short-term recognition memory. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Psychonomic Society, Bryn Mawr, Penna., August, 1963. (Published as Human Performance Society Memorandum Report No. 2, May, 1967.)

1964

Martin, E. The process underlying stimulus pronunciability effects in aural paired-associate learning. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, St. Louis, Mo., May, 1964.

Melton, A. W. Effects of repetition on immediate memory. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, St. Louis, Mo., May, 1964.

Melton, A. W., Reicher, G. M., and Bernbach, H. A. Stimulus frequency in continuous short-term recognition memory. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Psychonomic Society, Niagara Falls, Ont., October, 1964.

1965

Martin, E. An ex lanation of grammatical factors in sentence retention: Amount vs. kind of structure. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Ill., May, 1965.

Melton, A. W. Proactive interference in short-term memory. Paper presented at Annual Meeting of the Society of Experimental Psychologists, Columbus, O., April, 1965.

1966

Goggin, J. P. First-list recall as a function of second-list learning method. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Ill., May, 1966.

Martin, E. Grammatical factors in retention. Paper presented at the Columbia University Conference on Verbal Learning and Written Instruction, March, 1966.

Martin, E. Formation of concepts. Invited paper presented at Carnegie Institute of Technology Symposium on Cognition, Pittsburgh, Penna., April, 1966.

Martin, E. Stimulus recognition as a necessary condition for association activation in paired-associate learning. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Ill., May, 1966.

Mar .n, E. Relation between short-term memory and shift performance in oncept formation. Paper presented at the 7th Annual Meeting of the Psychonomic Society, St. Louis, Mo., October, 1966.

Melton, A. W. Short-term and long-term memory: Dichotomy or continuum? Invited paper presented at XVIIIth International Congress of Psychology, Moscow, U.S.S.R., August, 1966.

Melton, A. W. Recognition memory. Invited address presented before the Polish Psychological Society, Warsaw, Poland, August, 1966.

Melton, A. W., Reicher, G. M., and Shulman, H. G. A distributed practice effect on probability of recall in free recall of words. Paper presented at 7th Annual Meeting of the Psychonomic Society, St. Louis, Mo., October, 1966.

Roberts, K. H. The interaction of normative associations and grammatical factors in sentence retention. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Ill., May, 1966.

Triggs, T. J. The importance of multiple task performance in man-machine system design. Paper presented at Human Factors Meeting, National Electronics Conference, Chicago, Ill., October, 1966.

65

<u>1967</u>

Goggin, J. P. <u>Retroactive inhibition with different patterns of interpolated lists</u>. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Ill., May, 1967.

Martin, E. <u>Short-term memory for differentially conditioned stimuli</u>. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Ill., May, 1967.

Melton, A. W. <u>The effect of massed and spaced presentations of words on probability of free recall</u>. Paper presented at Annual Meeting of the Society of Experimental Psychologists, Monticello, Ill., March, 1967.

Melton, A. W. <u>Interference in short-term memory</u>. Invited address before the Annual Meeting of the Southwestern Psychological Association, Houston, Texas, April, 1967.

Roberts, K. H. <u>Some effects of grammatical context on the recall of sentence elements</u>. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Ill., May, 1967.

PART IV

REFERENCES TO REPORTS OTHER THAN PRODUCTS OF

CONTRACT NO. AF 49(638)-1235

The following list includes only those references that are

not listed as products of Contract No AF 49(638)-1235 in Part III,

Section D, Sub-section a, which lists by year all published or "in

press" articles that are products of that contract.

Broadbent, D. E. Perception and communcation. New York: Pergamon,
1958.

Brown, J. The nature of set-to-learn and intra-material interference
in immediate memory. Quart. J. Exper. Psychol., 1954, 6, 141-148.

Brown, J. Some tests of the decay theory of immediate memory. Quart.
J. exp. Psychol., 1958, 10, 12-21.

Cardozo, B. L., & Leopold, F. F. Human code transmission. Ergonomics,
1963, 6, 133-141.

Conrad, R., & Hull,   Information, acoustic confusion and memory span.
Brit. J. Psychol., 1964, 55, 429-432.

Edwards, W. Optimal strategies for seeking information: models for
statistics, choice reaction times, and human information processing.
J. math. Psychol., 1965, 2, 321-329.

Fitts, P. M. Perceptual-motor skill learning. In A. W. Melton (Ed.)
Categories of human learning. New York: Academic Press, 1964.
Pp. 243-285.

Fitts, P. M., & Switzer, G. Cognitive aspects of information proces-
sing: I. The familiarity of S-R sets and subsets. J. exp. Psychol.,
1962, 63, 321-329.

Hebb, D. O. Distinctive features of learning in the higher animal.
In J. F. Delafresnay (Ed.), Brain mechanisms and learning. London:
Oxford Univ. Press, 1961. Pp. 37-46.

Hick, W. E. On the rate of gain of information. Quart. J. exp. Psychol.,
1952, 4, 11-26.

Hess, E. H., & Polt, J. M. Pupil size in relation to mental activity
during simple problem-solving. Science, 1964, 143, 1190-1192.

Kahneman, D., & Beatty, J. Pupil diameter and load on memory. *Science*, 1966, 154, 1583-1595.

Keppel, G., & Underwood, B. J. Proactive inhibition in short-term retention of single items. *J. verb. Learn. verb. Behav.*, 1962, 1, 153-161.

Lawrence, D. H., & Coles, G. R. Accuracy of recognition with alternatives before and after the stimulus. *J. exp. Psychol.*, 1954, 47, 208-214.

Leonard, A. J. Tactual choice reactions. *Quart. J. exp. Psychol.*, 1959, 11, 76-83.

Loess, H. Proactive inhibition and word category in short-term memory. Paper presented at Midwestern Psychol. Assn., May, 1965.

Loess, H., & Waugh, N. C., Unpublished.

Mehler, J. Some effects of grammatical transformations on the recall of English sentences. *J. verb. Learn. verb. Behav.*, 1963, 2, 346-351.

Melton, A. W. Implications of short-term memory for a general theory of memory. *J. verb. Learn. verb. Behav.*, 1963, 2, 1-21.

Miller, G. A. The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychol. Rev.*, 1956, 63, 81-97

Miller, G. A. Some psychological studies of grammar. *Amer. Psychologist*, 1962, 17, 748-762.

Mowbray, G. H., & Rhoades, M. V. On the reduction of choice reaction times with practice. *Quart. J. exp. Psychol.*, 1959, 11, 16-23.

Murdock, B. B., Jr. The retention of individual items. *J. exp. Psychol.*, 1961, 62, 618-625.

Murdock, B. B., Jr. Short-term memory and paired-associate learning. *J. verb. Learn. verb. Behav.*, 1963, 2, 320-328.

Neisser, U. Decision time without reaction time: Experiments in visual search. *Amer. J. Psychol.*, 1963, 76, 376-385.

Peterson, L. R., & Peterson, M. J. Short-term retention of individual verbal items. *J. exp. Psychol.*, 1959, 58, 193-198.

Posner, M. I. Information reduction in the analysis of sequential tasks. *Psychol. Rev.*, 1964, 71, 491-504.

Posner, M. I. Short term memory systems in human information processing. *Acta Psychologica*, 1967, 27, 276-284.

Postman, L. The present status of interference theory. In C.N. Cofer (Ed.), Verbal learning and verbal behavior. New York: McGraw-Hill 1961. Pp. 152-179.

Reid, L.S. Information processing and short-term memory. Progress Rep. No. 1, NSF Grant GB-4069, May, 1967.

Shepard, R.N., & Teghtsoonian, M. Retention of information under conductions approaching a steady state. J. exp. Psychol., 1961, 62, 302-309.

Sternberg, S. Retrieval from recent memory: Some reaction time experiments and a search theory. Paper read at Psychonomic Society meeting Bryn Mawr, August, 1963.

Treisman, A.M. Selective attention in man. Brit. Med. Bull., 1964, 20, 12-16.

Waugh, N.C., & Norman, D.A. Primary memory. Psychol. Rev., 1965, 72, 89-104.

Welford, A.T. The measurement of sensory-motor performance: Survey and reappraisal of twelve years' progress. Ergonomics, 1960, 3, 189-229.

Wickelgren, W.A. Phonemic similarity and interference in short-term memory for single letters. J. exp. Psychol., 1966, 71, 396-404.

Vickens, D.D., Born, D.G., & Allen, C.K. Proactive inhibition and item similarity in short-term memory. J. verb. Learn. verb. Behav., 1963, 2, 440-445.